arXiv:0801.2826v1 [math.OA] 18 Jan 2008

Non-Commutative Geometry, Categories and Quantum Physics

Paolo Bertozzini*@, Roberto Conti*‡†, Wicharn Lewkeeratiyutkul*‡
@ *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science and Technology,*
Thammasat University, Bangkok 12121, Thailand
e-mail: `paolo.th@gmail.com`
‡ *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University,*
Bangkok 10330, Thailand
e-mail: `Roberto.Conti@newcastle.edu.au`
e-mail: `Wicharn.L@chula.ac.th`

20 November 2007

Abstract

After an introduction to some basic issues in non-commutative geometry (Gel'fand duality, spectral triples), we present a "panoramic view" of the status of our current research program on the use of categorical methods in the setting of A. Connes' non-commutative geometry: morphisms/categories of spectral triples, categorification of Gel'fand duality. We conclude with a summary of the expected applications of "categorical non-commutative geometry" to structural questions in relativistic quantum physics: (hyper)covariance, quantum space-time, (algebraic) quantum gravity.

Keywords: Non-commutative Geometry, Spectral Triple, Category, Morphisms, Quantum Physics, Space-Time.

MSC-2000: 46L87, 46M15, 16D90, 18F99, 81R60, 81T05, 83C65.

1 Introduction.

The purpose of this review paper is to present the status of our research work on categorical non-commutative geometry and to contextualize it providing appropriate references.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the basic elementary definitions about categories, functors and natural transformations and dualities just to fix our notation.

In section 3, we first provide a review of the basic dualities (Gelf'and, Serre-Swan and Takahashi) that constitute the main categorical motivation for non-commutative geometry and then we pass to introduce the definition of A. Connes spectral triple.

In the first part of section 4, we give an overview of our proposed definitions of morphisms between spectral triples and categories of spectral triples. In the second part of

---

*Partially supported by the Thai Research Fund: grant n. RSA4780022.

†Current Address: Mathematics, School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia.

section 4 we show how to generalize Gel'fand duality to the setting of commutative full C*-categories and we suggest how to apply this insight to the purpose of defining "bivariant" spectral triples as a correct notion of metric morphism.

The last section 5, is mainly intended for an audience of mathematicians and tries to explain how categorical and non-commutative notions enter the context of quantum mathematical physics and how we hope to see such notions emerge in a non-perturbative treatment of quantum gravity.

The last part (section 5.5.2) is more speculative and contains a short overview of our present research program in quantum gravity based on Tomita-Takesaki modular theory and categorical non-commutative geometry.

We have tried to provide an extensive biliography in order to help to place our research in a broader landscape and to suggest as much as possible future links with interesting ideas already developed. Of course missing references are sole responsability of the ignorance of the authors, that are still trying to learn their way through the material. We will be grateful for any suggestion to improve the on-line version of the document.

Notes and acknowledgments The partial research support provided by the Thai Research Fund (grant n. RSA4780022) is kindly acknowledged. The paper originates from notes prepared in occasion of a talk at the "International Conference on Analysis and its Applications" in Chulalongkorn University in August 2006. Most of the results have been announced in the form of research seminars in Norway (University of Oslo), in Australia (ANU in Canberra, Macquarie University in Sydney, University of Queensland in Brisbane, La Trobe University in Melbourne, University of Newcastle) and in Italy (SISSA Trieste, Università di Roma II, Università di Bologna and Politecnico di Milano). One of the authors (P.B.) thanks Chulalongkorn University for the weekly hospitality during the last three years of research work.

2 Categories.

Just for the purpose to fix our notation, we recall some general definitions on category theory, for a full introduction to the subject the reader can consult S. MacLane [Mc] or Barr-Wells [BW].

2.1 Objects and Morphisms.

A **category** $\mathscr{C}$ consists of

a) a class[1] of **objects** $\mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}}$,

b) for any two object $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}}$ a set of **morphisms** $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A, B)$,

c) for any three objects $A, B, C \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}}$ a **composition** map

$$\circ : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(B, C) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A, B) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A, C)$$

that satisfies the following properties for all morphisms f, g, h that can be composed:

$$(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h),$$
$$\forall A \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}},\ \exists \iota_A \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A, A)\ :\ \iota_A \circ f = f,\ g \circ \iota_A = g.$$

---

[1] The family of objetcs can be a proper class. The category is called **small** if the class of objects is actually a set.

A morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A,B)$ is called an **isomorphism** if there exists another morphism $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(B,A)$ such that $f \circ g = \iota_B$ and $g \circ f = \iota_A$.

2.2 Functors, Natural Transformations, Dualities.

Given two categories $\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D}$, a **covariant functor** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$ is a pair of maps

$$\mathfrak{F} : \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}} \to \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{D}}, \quad \mathfrak{F} : A \mapsto \mathfrak{F}_A, \quad \forall A \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}},$$
$$\mathfrak{F} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}}, \quad \mathfrak{F} : x \mapsto F(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}},$$

such that $x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A,B)$ implies $\mathfrak{F}(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathfrak{F}_A, \mathfrak{F}_B)$ and such that, for any two composable morphisms f, g and any object A,

$$\mathfrak{F}(g \circ f) = \mathfrak{F}(g) \circ \mathfrak{F}(h), \qquad \mathfrak{F}(\iota_A) = \iota_{\mathfrak{F}_A}.$$

For a **contravariant functor** we require $\mathfrak{F}(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathfrak{F}_B, \mathfrak{F}_A)$, whenever $x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A,B)$.

A **natural transformation** $\eta : \mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{G}$ between two functors $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{G} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$, is a map $\eta : \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}}, \quad \eta : A \mapsto \eta_A \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathfrak{F}_A, \mathfrak{G}_A)$, such that the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{F}_A \arrow[r, "\eta_A"] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{F}(x)"'] & \mathfrak{G}_A \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{G}(x)"] \\
\mathfrak{F}_B \arrow[r, "\eta_B"'] & \mathfrak{G}_B .
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for all $x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A,B)$, $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}}$.

The functor $\mathfrak{F} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$ is

- **faithful** if, for all $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}}$, its restriction to the sets $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A,B)$ is injective;
- **full** if its restriction to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A,B)$ is surjective;
- **representative** if for all $X \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{D}}$ there exists $A \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{C}}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_A$ is isomorphic to X in $\mathscr{D}$.

A **duality** (a contravariant equivalence) of two categories $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ is a pair of contravariant functors $\Gamma : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$ and $\Sigma : \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C}$ such that $\Gamma \circ \Sigma$ and $\Sigma \circ \Gamma$ are naturally equivalent to the respective identity functors $\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{D}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{C}}$. A duality is actually specified by two functors, but given any one of the two functors in the dual pair, the other one is unique up to natural isomorphism. A functor Γ is in a duality pair if and only if it is full, faithful and representative (see for example Barr-Wells [BW, Definition 3.4.2]).

Categories that are in duality are considered "essentially" the same (modulo the reversing of arrows).

Some important examples of "geometrical categories" i.e. categories whose objects are sets equipped with a suitable structure, whose morphisms are "structure preserving maps" and with composition always given by the usual composition of functions are: **sets and functions**; **topological spaces and continuous maps**; **differentiable manifolds and differentiable maps**; **Riemannian manifolds (metric spaces) with global metric isometries**; **Riemannian manifolds with Riemannnian (or totally geodesic) immersions/submersions**; **orientable (Riemannian) n-dimensional manifolds with orientation preserving maps**.[2]

---

[2] Note that, in general, it has no intrinsic meaning to say that a map between manifolds of different dimension preserve (or reverse) the orientation: a map between oriented manifolds, determines only a unique orientation for the normal bundle of the manifold.

↬ Problem: we are not aware of any definition in the literature of "spin preserving map" between spin-manifolds of different dimension. In the case of manifolds with the same dimension, it is of course possible to say that a map preserves the spin structure if there is an isomorphism (usually non-unique), between the pull-back of the spin bundle of the target manifold and the spin bundle on the source manifold, that "intertwines" the charge conjugation operators. Anyway, even in this case, since spin bundles are not "natural bundles" on a manifold, there is no intrinsic notion of "pull-back" for spinor fields (unless we consider some special classes of manifolds such as Kähler spin manifolds of a given dimension[3]).

The correct solution of this problem (as in the case of "orientation preserving" maps) consists of equipping the morphisms (considered as "relation submanifolds" of the Cartesian product of the source and target spin (oriented) manifolds) with their own additional "spin structure" (orientation). Work on this issue is in progress [4].

Other examples of immediate interest for us include **vector bundles and bundle maps**, with composition of bundle maps and **Hermitian vector bundles and (co)isometric bundle maps**. Note that K-theory is the study of some special functors from the category of vector bundles to the category of (abelian) groups.

3 Non-commutative Geometry (Objects).

For an introduction to the subject we refer the readers to the books by A. Connes [C1], G. Landi [Lan1], H. Figueroa-J. Gracia-Bondia-J. Varilly [FGV] (see also [Var]) and M. Khalkhali [Kha].

Non-commutative geometry, created by A. Connes, is a powerful extension of the ideas of R. Decartes' analytic geometry: to substitute "geometrical objects" with their Abelian algebras of functions; to "translate" the geometrical properties of spaces into algebraic properties of the associated algebras[5] and to "reconstruct" the original geometric spaces as a derived entities (the spectra of the algebras), a technique that appeared for the first time in the work of I. Gel'fand on Abelian C*-algebras in 1939.[6]

Whenever such "codifications" of geometry in algebraic terms still make sense if the Abelian condition is dropped,[7] we can simply work with non-commutative algebras considered as "duals" of "non-commutative spaces".

The existence of dualities between categories of "geometrical spaces" and categories "constructed from Abelian algebras" is the starting point of any generalization of geometry to the non-commutative situation. Here are some examples.

---

[3] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Non-commutative Totally Geodesic Submanifolds and Quotient Manifolds, in preparation.

[4] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Categories of Spectral Triples and Morita Equivalence, in preparation.

[5] A line of thought already present in J.L. Koszul algebraization of differential geometry.

[6] Although similar ideas, previously developed by D. Hilbert, are well known and used also in P. Cartier-A. Grothendieck's definition of schemes in algebraic geometry.

[7] Usually in the non-commutative case, there are several inequivalent generalizations of the same condition for Abelian algebras.

3.1 Non-commutative Topology.

3.1.1 Gel'fand Theorem.

For the details on operator algebras, the reader may refer to R. Kadison-J. Ringrose [KR], M. Takesaki [T] and B. Blackadar [Bl]. A complex unital **algebra** $\mathcal{A}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with an associative unital bilinear multiplication. $\mathcal{A}$ is **Abelian** (commutative) if $ab = ba$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. An **involution** on $\mathcal{A}$ is a conjugate linear map $* : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $(a^*)^* = a$ and $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. An involutive complex unital algebra is $\mathcal{A}$ called a **C*-algebra** if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach space with a norm $a \mapsto \|a\|$ such that $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$ and $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Notable examples are the algebras of continuous complex valued functions $C(X;\mathbb{C})$ on a compact topological space with the "sup norm" and the algebras of linear bounded operators $\mathcal{B}(H)$ on the Hilbert space H.

Theorem 3.1 (Gel'fand)**.** *There exists a duality* $(\Gamma^{(1)}, \Sigma^{(1)})$ *between the category* $\mathscr{T}^{(1)}$*, of continuous maps between compact Hausdorff topological spaces, and the category* $\mathscr{A}^{(1)}$*, of unital homomorphisms of commutative unital* C**-algebras.*

$\Gamma^{(1)}$ is the functor that associates to every compact Hausdorff topological space $X \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{T}^{(1)}}$ the unital commutative C*-algebra $\mathrm{C}(X;\mathbb{C})$ of complex valued continuous functions on X (with pointwise multiplication and conjugation and supremum norm) and that to every continuous map $f : X \to Y$ associates the unital $*$-homomorphism $f^\bullet : C(Y;\mathbb{C}) \to C(X;\mathbb{C})$ given by the pull-back of continuous functions by f.

$\Sigma^{(1)}$ is the functor that associates to every unital commutative C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ its spectrum $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{A}) := \{\omega \mid \omega : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ is a unital } *\text{-homomorphism}\}$ (as a topological space with the weak topology induced by the evaluation maps $\omega \mapsto \omega(x)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$) and that to every unital $*$-homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ of algebras associates the continuous map $\phi^\bullet : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{A})$ given by the pull-back under ϕ.

The natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}^{(1)}} \to \Gamma^{(1)} \circ \Sigma^{(1)}$ is given by the **Gel'fand transforms** $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to C(\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{A}))$ defined by $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{A}} : a \mapsto \hat{a}$, where $\hat{a} : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is the Gel'fand transform of a i.e. $\hat{a} : \omega \mapsto \omega(a)$.

The natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{E} : \mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{T}^{(1)}} \to \Sigma^{(1)} \circ \Gamma^{(1)}$ is given by the **evaluation** homeomorphisms $\mathfrak{E}_X : X \to \mathrm{Sp}(C(X))$ defined by $\mathfrak{E}_X : p \mapsto \mathrm{ev}_p$, where $\mathrm{ev}_p : C(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ is the p-evaluation i.e. $\mathrm{ev}_p : f \mapsto f(p)$.

In view of this result, compact Hausdorff spaces and Abelian unital C*-algebras are essentially the same thing and we can freely translate properties of the geometrical space in algebraic properties of its Abelian algebra of functions.

In the spirit of non-commutative geometry, we can simply consider non-Abelian unital C*-algebras as "duals" of "non-commutative compact Hausdorff topological spaces".

3.1.2 Serre-Swan and Takahashi Theorems.

A **left pre-Hilbert-C*-module** ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ over the unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (whose positive part is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_+ := \{x^*x \mid x \in \mathcal{A}\}$) is a unital left module M over the unital ring $\mathcal{A}$ that is equipped with an $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product $M \times M \to \mathcal{A}$ denoted by $(x, y) \mapsto {}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle x \mid y\rangle$ such that, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\langle x + y \mid z\rangle = \langle x \mid z\rangle + \langle y \mid z\rangle$, $\langle a \cdot x \mid z\rangle = a\langle x \mid z\rangle$, $\langle y \mid x\rangle = \langle x \mid y\rangle^*$, $\langle x \mid x\rangle \in \mathcal{A}_+$, $\langle x \mid x\rangle = 0_{\mathcal{A}} \Rightarrow x = 0_M$. A similar definition of a right pre-Hilbert-C*-module is given with multiplication by elements of the algebra on the right.

A left Hilbert C*-module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ is a left pre-Hilbert C*-module that is complete in the norm defined by $x \mapsto \sqrt{\|{}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle x \mid x\rangle\|}$.[8] We say that a left pre-Hilbert C*-module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ is

---

[8] A similar definition applies for right modules.

full if $\overline{\text{span}\{\langle x \mid y\rangle \mid x, y \in M\}} = \mathcal{A}$, where the closure is in the norm topology of the C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. A **pre-Hilbert-C*-bimodule** ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M_{\mathcal{B}}$ over the unital C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$, is a left pre-Hilbert module over $\mathcal{A}$ and a right pre-Hilbert C*-module over $\mathcal{B}$ such that:

$$(a \cdot x) \cdot b = a \cdot (x \cdot b), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A},\ x \in M,\ b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

A full Hilbert C*-bimodule is said to be an **imprimitivity bimodule** or an **equivalence bimodule** if:

$$_{\mathcal{A}}\langle x \mid y\rangle \cdot z = x \cdot \langle y \mid z\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in M.$$

A bimodule ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called **symmetric** if $ax = xa$ for all $x \in M$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$.[9] A module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ is **free** if it is isomorphic to a module of the form $\oplus_J \mathcal{A}$ for some index set J. A module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ is **projective** if there exists another module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}N$ such that $M \oplus N$ is a free module.

An "equivalence result" strictly related to Gel'fand theorem, is the following "Hermitian" version of Serre-Swan theorem (see for example M. Frank [Fr, Theorem 7.1], N. Weaver [We2, Theorem 9.1.6] and also H. Figueroa-J. Gracia-Bondia-J. Varilly [FGV, Theorem 2.10 and page 68]) that provides a "spectral interpretation" of symmetric finite projective bimodules over a commutative unital C*-algebra as Hermitian vector bundles over the spectrum of the algebra.[10]

Theorem 3.2 (Serre-Swan)**.** *Let X be a compact Hausdorff topological space. Let $\mathscr{M}_{C(X)}$ be the category of symmetric projective finite Hilbert* C**-bimodules over the commutative* C**-algebra $C(X;\mathbb{C})$ with $C(X;\mathbb{C})$-bimodule morphisms. Let $\mathscr{E}_X$ be the category of Hermitian vector bundles over X with bundle morphisms*[11].

The functor $\Gamma : \mathscr{E}_X \to \mathscr{M}_{C(X)}$, that to every Hermitian vector bundle associates its symmetric $C(X)$-bimodule of sections, is an equivalence of categories.

In practice, to every Hermitian vector bundle $\pi : H \to X$ over the compact Hausdorff space X, we associate the symmetric Hilbert C*-bimodule $\Gamma(X; H)$, the continuous sections of H, over the C*-algebra $C(X;\mathbb{C})$.

Since, in the light of Gel'fand theorem, non-Abelian unital C*-algebras are to be interpreted as "non-commutative compact Hausdorff topological spaces", Serre-Swan theorem suggests that finite projective Hilbert C*-bimodules over unital C*-algebras should be considered as "Hermitian bundles over non-commutative Hausdorff compact spaces".

- $\looparrowright$ Problem: Serre-Swan theorem deals only with categories of bundles over a fixed topological space (categories of modules over a fixed algebra, respectively). In order to extend the theorem to categories of bundles over different spaces, it is necessary to define generalized notions of morphism between modules over different algebras. The easiest solution is to define a morphism from the $\mathcal{A}$-module ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}$ to the $\mathcal{B}$-module ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{N}$ as a pair (ϕ, Φ), where $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism of algebras and

---

[9] Of course this definition make sense only for bimodules over a commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

[10] The result, as it is stated in the previously given references [Fr, We2] and [FGV, page 68], is actually formulated without the finitness and projectivity conditions on the modules and with Hilbert bundles (see J. Fell-R. Doran [FD, Section 13] or [FGV, Definition 2.9] for a detailed definition) in place of Hermitian bundles. Note that Hilbert bundles are not necessarily locally trivial, but they become so if they have finite constant rank (see for example J. Fell-R. Doran [FD, Remark 13.9]) and hence the more general equivalence between the category of Hilbert bundles with the category of Hilbert C*-modules actually entails the Hermitian version of Serre-Swan theorem presented here.

[11] Continuous, fiberwise linear maps, preserving the base points.

$\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map of the bimodules such that $\Phi(am) = \phi(a)\Phi(m)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$. This is the notion that we have used in [BCL1][12], and that appeared also in [Ta1, Ta2, FGV, Ho]. A more appropriate solution would be to consider "congruences" of bimodules and reformulate Serre-Swan theorem in terms of relators (as defined in [BCL1]). Work on this topic is in progress[13].

↬ Problem: note that Serre-Swan theorem gives an equivalence of categories (and not a duality), this will create problems of "covariance" for any generalization of the well-known covariant functors between categories of manifolds and categories of their associated vector (tensor, Clifford) bundles, to the case of non-commutative spaces and their "bundles". Again a more appropriate approach using relators should deal with this issue.

A first immediate solution to both the above problems is provided by Takahashi duality theorem below. Serre-Swan equivalence is actually a particular case of the following general (and surprisingly almost unnoticed) Gel'fand duality result that was obtained in 1971 by A. Takahashi [Ta1, Ta2].[14] In this formulation, one actually consider much more general C*-modules and Hilbert bundles at the price of losing contact with K-theory; anyway (as described in the footonote 10 at page 6) the Hermitian version of Serre-Swan theorem can be recovered considering bundles with constant finite rank (over a fixed compact Hausdorff topological space).

Theorem 3.3 (Takahashi). *There is a (weak $*$-monoidal) category ${}_\bullet\mathscr{M}$ of left Hilbert* C*-*modules* ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M, {}_{\mathcal{B}}N$ *over unital commutative* C*-*algebras, whose morphisms are given by pairs* (ϕ, Φ) *where* $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ *is a unital $*$-homomorphism of* C*-*algebras and* $\Phi : M \to N$ *is a continuous map such that* $\Phi(ax) = \phi(a)\Phi(x)$, *for all* $a \in \mathcal{A}$ *and* $x \in M$.

There is a (weak $*$-monoidal) category $\mathscr{E}$ *of Hilbert bundles* $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X}), (\mathcal{F}, \rho, \mathcal{Y})$ *over compact Hausdorff topological spaces with morphisms given by pairs* $(f, \mathscr{F})$ *with* $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ *a continuous map and* $\mathscr{F} : f^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{E}$ *satisfies* $\pi \circ \mathscr{F} = \rho^f$, *where* $(f^\bullet(\mathcal{F}), \rho^f, \mathcal{X})$ *denotes the pull-back of the bundle* $(\mathcal{F}, \rho, \mathcal{Y})$ *under* f.

There is an equivalence (of weak $*$-monoidal) categories given by the functor Γ *that associates to every Hilbert bundle* $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X})$ *the set of sections* $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{E})$ *and that to every section* $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Y}; \mathcal{F})$ *associates the section* $\mathscr{F} \circ f^\bullet(\sigma) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{E})$.

Of course, much more deserves to be said about the vast landscape of research currently developing in non-commutative topology, but it is not our purpose to provide here an overview of this huge subject. Fairly detailed treatments of some of the usual techniques in algebraic topology are already available in their non-commutative counterpart (see [FGV] or the expository article by J. Cuntz [Cu] for more details): non-commutative K-theory (K-theory of C*-algebras), K-homology (G. Kasparov's KK-theory) and (co)homology (Hochschild and A. Connes-B. Tsygan cyclic cohomologies). Among the most recent achievements, we limit ourselves to mention the extremely interesting definitions of quantum principal and associated bundles (P. Baum-P. Hajac-R. Matthes-W. Szymanski [BHMS]) and of non-commutative CW-complexes (D. N. Diep [Di]).

---

[12] See also P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Non-commutative Totally Geodesic Submanifolds and Quotient Manifolds, in preparation. P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Categories of Spectral Triples and Morita Equivalence, in preparation.

[13] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Categories of Spectral Triples and Morita Equivalence, in preparation.

[14] Note that our Gel'fand duality result for commutative full C*-categories (that we will present later in section 4.2.1) can be seen as "strict"-$*$-monoidal version of Takahashi duality.

3.2 Non-commutative (Spin) Differential Geometry.

What are "non-commutative manifolds"?

In order to define "non-commutative manifolds", we have to find a categorical duality between a category of manifolds and a suitable category constructed out of Abelian C*-algebras of functions over the manifolds. The complete answer to the question is not yet known, but (at least in the case of compact finite-dimensional orientable Riemannian spin manifolds) the notion of Connes' spectral triples and Connes-Rennie-Varilly [RV1] reconstruction theorem provide an appropriate starting point, specifying the objects of our non-commutative category[15].

3.2.1 Connes Spectral Triples.

A. Connes (see [C1, FGV]) has proposed a set of axioms for "non-commutative manifolds" (at least in the case of a compact finite-dimensional orientable Riemannian spin manifolds), called a (compact) spectral triple or an (unbounded) K-cycle.

- A (compact) **spectral triple** $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is given by:
  - a unital pre-C*-algebra[16] $\mathcal{A}$;
  - a (faithful) representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$;
  - a (generally unbounded) self-adjoint operator D on $\mathcal{H}$, called the Dirac operator, such that:
    a) the resolvent $(D - \lambda)^{-1}$ is a compact operator, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$,[17]
    b) $[D, \pi(a)]_- \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,
    where $[x, y]_- := xy - yx$ denotes the commutator of $x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.
- A spectral triple is called **even** if there exists a grading operator, i.e. a bounded self-adjoint operator $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that:

  $$\Gamma^2 = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}; \quad [\Gamma, \pi(a)]_- = 0, \forall a \in \mathcal{A}; \quad [\Gamma, D]_+ = 0,$$

  where $[x, y]_+ := xy + yx$ is the anticommutator of x, y.

  A spectral triple that is not even is called **odd**.
- A spectral triple is **regular** if the function

  $$\Xi_x : t \mapsto \exp(it|D|)x\exp(-it|D|)$$

  is regular, i.e. $\Xi_x \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$,[18] for every $x \in \Omega_D(\mathcal{A})$, where [19]

  $$\Omega_D(\mathcal{A}) := \mathrm{span}\{\pi(a_0)[D, \pi(a_1)]_- \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)]_- \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \ a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}\} .$$
- A spectral triple is **n-dimensional** iff there exists an integer n such that the Dixmier trace of $|D|^{-n}$ is finite nonzero.

---

[15] We will of course deal later with the morphisms in section 4.1.

[16] Sometimes $\mathcal{A}$ is required to be closed under holomorphic functional calculus.

[17] As already noticed by Connes, this condition has to be weakened in the case of non-compact manifolds, cf. [GLMV, GGISV, Re2, Re3].

[18] This condition is equivalent to $\pi(a), [D, \pi(a)]_- \in \cap_{m=1}^\infty \mathrm{Dom}\, \delta^m$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where δ is the derivation given by $\delta(x) := [|D|, x]_-$.

[19] We assume that for $n = 0 \in \mathbb{N}$ the term in the formula simply reduces to $\pi(a_0)$.

- A spectral triple is **θ-summable** if $\exp(-tD^2)$ is a trace-class operator for every $t > 0$.
- A spectral triple is **real** if there exists an antiunitary operator $J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that:

  $$\begin{aligned}
  &[\pi(a), J\pi(b^*)J^{-1}]_- = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A};\\
  &[\,[D, \pi(a)]_-, J\pi(b^*)J^{-1}]_- = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \textbf{first order condition};\\
  &J^2 = \pm \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}; \quad [J, D]_\pm = 0; \quad \text{and, only in the even case,} \quad [J, \Gamma]_\pm = 0,
  \end{aligned}$$

  where the choice of ± in the last three formulas depends on the "dimension" n of the spectral triple modulo 8 in accordance to the following table:

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$J^2 = \pm \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}$	$+$	$+$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$+$	$+$
$[J, D]_\pm = 0$	$-$	$+$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$+$	$-$	$-$
$[J, \Gamma]_\pm = 0$	$-$		$+$		$-$		$+$	

- A spectral triple is **finite** if $\mathcal{H}_\infty := \cap_{k=1}^\infty \mathrm{Dom}\, D^k$ is a finite projective $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule.
- An n-dimensional spectral triple is said to be **orientable** if there is a Hochschild cycle $c = \sum_{j=1}^m a_0^{(j)} \otimes a_1^{(j)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n^{(j)}$ such that its "representation" on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, $\pi(c) = \sum_{j=1}^m \pi(a_0^{(j)})[D, \pi(a_1^{(j)})]_- \cdots [D, \pi(a_n^{(j)})]_-$ is the grading operator in the even case or the identity operator in the odd case[20].
- A real spectral triple is said to satisfy **Poincaré duality** if its fundamental class in the KR-homology of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ induces (via Kasparov intersection product) an isomorphism between the K-theory $\mathrm{K}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ and the K-homology $\mathrm{K}^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$.[21]
- A spectral triple will be called **Abelian** or commutative whenever $\mathcal{A}$ is Abelian.
- Finally a spectral triple is **irreducible** if there is no non-trivial closed subspace in $\mathcal{H}$ that is invariant for $\pi(\mathcal{A}), D, J, \Gamma$.

To every spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ there is a naturally associated quasi-metric[22] on the set of pure states $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, called Connes' distance and given for all pure states ω_1, ω_2 by:

$$d_D(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \sup\{|\omega_1(x) - \omega_2(x)| \mid x \in \mathcal{A},\ \|[D, \pi(x)]\| \leq 1\}.$$

Theorem 3.4 (Connes)**.** *Given an orientable compact Riemannian spin m-dimensional differentiable manifold M, with a given complex spinor bundle $S(M)$, a given spinorial charge conjugation C_M and a given volume form μ_M,*[23] *define:*

---

[20] In the following, in order to simplify the discussion, we will always refer to a "grading operator" Γ that actually coincides with the grading operator in the even case and that is by definition the identity operator in the odd case.

[21] In [RV1] some of the axioms are reformulated in a different form, in particular this condition is replaced by the requirement that the C*-module completion of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is a Morita equivalence bimodule between (the norm completions of) $\mathcal{A}$ and $\Omega_D(\mathcal{A})$.

[22] In general d_D can take the value $+\infty$ unless the spectral triple is irreducible.

[23] Remember that an orientable manifolds admits two different orientations and that, on a Riemannian manifold, the choice of an orientation canonically determines a volume form μ_M. Recall also [S] that a spin manifold M admits several inequivalent spinor bundles and for every choice of a complex spinor bundle $S(M)$ (whose isomorphism class define the spin^c structure of M) there are inequivalent choices of spinorial charge conjugations C_M that define, up to bundle isomorphisms, the spin structure of M.

$\mathcal{A}_M := C^\infty(M;\mathbb{C})$ the algebra of complex valued regular functions on the differentiable manifold M,

$\mathcal{H}_M := L^2(M;S(M))$ the Hilbert space of "square integrable" sections of the given spinor bundle $S(M)$ of the manifold M i.e. the completion of the space $\Gamma^\infty(M;S(M))$ of smooth sections of the spinor bundle $S(M)$ equipped with the inner product given by $\langle \sigma \mid \tau \rangle := \int_M \langle \sigma(p) \mid \tau(p) \rangle_p \, d\mu_M$, where $\langle \mid \rangle_p$, with $p \in M$, is the unique inner product on $S_p(M)$ compatible with the Clifford action and the Clifford product.

D_M the Atiyah-Singer Dirac operator i.e. the closure of the operator that is obtained by "contracting" the unique spinorial covariant derivative $\nabla^{S(M)}$ (induced on $\Gamma^\infty(M;S(M))$ by the Levi-Civita covariant derivative of M, see [FGV, Theorem 9.8]) with the Clifford multiplication;

J_M the unique antilinear unitary extension $J_M : \mathcal{H}_M \to \mathcal{H}_M$ of the operator determined by the spinorial charge conjugation C_M by $(J_M\sigma)(p) := C_M(\sigma(p))$ for $\sigma \in \Gamma^\infty(M;S(M))$ and $p \in M$;

Γ_M the unique unitary extension on $\mathcal{H}_M$ of the operator given by fiberwise grading on $S_p(M)$, with $p \in M$.[24]

The data $(\mathcal{A}_M, \mathcal{H}_M, D_M)$ define an Abelian regular finite m-dimensional spectral triple that is real, with real structure J_M, orientable, with grading Γ_M, and that satisfies Poincaré duality.

Theorem 3.5 (Connes, Rennie-Varilly). *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be an irreducible commutative real (with real structure J and grading Γ) regular m-dimensional orientable finite spectral triple satisfying Poincaré duality. The spectrum of (the norm closure of) $\mathcal{A}$ can be endowed, essentially in a unique way, with the structure of an m-dimensional connected compact spin Riemannian manifold M with an irreducible complex spinor bundle $S(M)$, a charge conjugation J_M and a grading Γ_M such that: $\mathcal{A} \simeq C^\infty(M;\mathbb{C})$, $\mathcal{H} \simeq L^2(M, S(M))$, $D \simeq D_M$, $J \simeq J_M$, $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma_M$.*

↬ A. Connes proved the previous theorem under the additional condition that $\mathcal{A}$ is already given as the algebra of smooth complex-valued functions over a differentiable manifold M, namely $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M;\mathbb{C})$, and conjectured [C9, Theorem 6, Remark (a)] the result for general commutative pre-C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}$.

A first proof of this last fact has been published by A. Rennie [Re1]; some gaps were pointed out in the original argument, but they have been satisfactorily fixed [Re4], and a different revised proof appears in [RV1] (see also [RV2]) under some additional technical conditions.

As a consequence, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between unitary equivalence classes of spectral triples and connected compact oriented Riemannian spin manifolds up to spin-preserving isometric diffeomorphisms.

Similar results are also available for spin^c manifolds [C9, Theorem 6, Remark (e)].

3.3 Examples.

Of course, the most inspiring examples of spectral triples (starting from those arising from Riemannian spin-manifolds) are contained in A. Connes' book [C1] and an updated account of most of the available constructions is contained in A. Connes-M. Marcolli's lecture notes [CM1]. Here below we provide a short guide to some of the relevant literature:

---

[24] The grading is actually the identity in odd dimension.

- Abelian spectral triples arising from the Atiyah-Singer Dirac Operator on Riemannian spin manifolds, A. Connes [C1], and classical compact homogeneous spaces, M. Rieffel [Ri3].
- Spectral triples for the non-commutative tori, A. Connes [C1].
- Discrete spectral triples, T. Krajewski [Kr], M. Paschke-A. Sitarz [PS1].
- Spectral triples from Moyal planes (these are examples of "non-compact" triples), V. Gayral-J.M. Gracia-Bondia-B. Iochum-T. Schüker-J. Varilly [GGISV].
- Examples of Non-commutative Lorentzian Spectral Triples (following the definition given by A. Strohmaier [Str]), W. D. Suijlekom [Sui].
- Spectral Triples related to the Kronecker foliation (following the general construction by A. Connes-H. Moscovici [CMo1] of spectral triples associated to crossed product algebras related to foliations), R. Matthes-O. Richter-G. Rudolph [MRR].
- Dirac operators as multiplication by length functions on finitely generated discrete (amenable) groups, A. Connes [C7], M. Rieffel [Ri1].
- K-cycles and (twisted) spectral triples arising from supersymmetric quantum field theories, A. Jaffe-A. Lesniewski-K. Osterwalder [JLO1, JLO2], D. Kastler [K2], A. Connes [C1], D. Goswami [Go2].
- Spectral triples associated to quantum groups (in some case it is necessary to modify the first order condition involving the Dirac operator, requiring it to hold only up to compact operators), P. Chakraborty-A. Pal [ChP1, ChP2, ChP3, ChP4, ChP5, ChP6, ChP7, ChP8, ChP9], D. Goswami [Go1], A. Connes [C6], L. Dabrowski-G.Landi-A.Sitarz-W. van Suijlekom-J. Varilly [DLSSV1, DLSSV2], J. Kustermans-G. Murphy-L. Tuset [KMT], S. Neshveyev-L. Tuset [NT]; and also spectral triples associated to homogeneus spaces of quantum groups: L. Dabrowski [Da], L. Dabrowski-G. Landi-M. Paschke- A. Sitarz [DLPS], F. D'Andrea-L. Dabrowski [DD], F. D'Andrea-L. Dabrowski-G. Landi [DDL], [D] (the latter is "twisted" according to A. Connes-H. Moscovici [CMo3]).
- Non-commutative manifolds and instantons, A. Connes-G. Landi [CL], L. Dabrowski G. Landi-T. Masuda [DLM], L. Dabrowski-G. Landi [DL], G. Landi [Lan3, Lan4], G. Landi-W. van Suijlekom [LS1, LS2].
- Non-commutative spherical manifolds A. Connes-M. Dubois-Violette [CDV1, CDV2, CDV3].
- Spectral triples for some classes of fractal spaces, A. Connes [C1], D. Guido-T. Isola [GI1, GI2, GI3], C. Antonescu-E. Christensen [AC], E. Christensen C. Ivan-M. Lapidus [CIL].
- Spectral Triples for AF C*-algebras, C. Antonescu-E. Christensen [AC].

- Spectral triples in number theory: A. Connes [C1], A. Connes-M. Marcolli [CM1], R. Meyer [Me]; spectral triples from Arakelov Geometry, from Mumford curves and hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, C. Consani-M. Marcolli [CoM1, CoM2, CoM3, CoM4], G. Cornelissen-M. Marcolli-K. Reihani-A. Vdovina [CMRV], G. Cornelissen-M. Marcolli [CMa].

- Spectral triples of the standard model in particle physics, A. Connes-J. Lott [CLo], J. Gracia-Bondia-J.Varilly [GV], D. Kastler [K4, K5], A. Connes [C2, C3, C12], J. Barrett [Bar], A. Chamseddine-A. Connes [CC1, CC2, CC3], A. Chamseddine-A. Connes-M. Marcolli [CCMa], A. Connes-M. Marcolli [CM1, CM2].

3.4 Other Spectral Geometries.

In the last few years several others variants and extensions of "spectral geometries" have been considered or proposed:

- Lorentzian spectral triples (A. Strohmaier [Str], M. Paschke-R. Verch [PV2]) and also M. Paschke-A. Sitarz [PS2],

- Riemannian non-spin (S. Lord [Lo]),

- Laplacian, Kähler (J. Fröhlich-O. Grandjean-A. Recknagel [FGR1, FGR2, FGR3, FGR4]),

- Following works by M. Breuer [Br1, Br2] on Fredholm modules on von Neumann algebras, M-T. Benameur-T. Fack [BF] and more recently in a series of papers [CP, CPS1, CPS2, CPRS1, CPRS2, CPRS3, CPRS4, CRSS, BCPRSW, PaR, CPR], M-T. Benameur-A. Carey-D. Pask-J. Phillips-A. Rennie-F. Sukochev-K. Wojciechowski (see also J. Kaad-R. Nest-A. Rennie [KNR]), have been trying to generalize the formalism of Connes' spectral triples when the algebra of bounded operators on the Hilbert space of the triple is replaced by a more general semifinite von Neumann algebra.

↬ Although non-commutative differential geometry, following A. Connes, has been mainly developed in the axiomatic framework of spectral triples, that essentially generalize the structures available for the Atiyah-Singer theory of first order differential elliptic operators of the Dirac type, it is very likely that suitable "spectral geometries" might be developed using operators of higher order (the Laplacian type being the first notable example). Since "topological obstructions" (such us non-orientability, non-spinoriality) are expected to survive essentially unaltered in the transition from the commutative to the non commutative world, these "higher-order non-commutative geometries" will deal with more general situations compared to usual spectral triples. In this direction we are developing[25] definitions in the hope to obtain Connes-Rennie type theorems also in these cases.

↬ Apart from the "spectral approaches" to non-commutative geometry, more or less directly inspired by A. Connes' spectral triples, there are other lines of development that are worth investigating and whose "relation" with spectral triples is not yet clear:

---

[25] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Second Order Non-commutative Geometry, work in progress.

- J.-L. Sauvageot [Sa] and F. Cipriani [CS] are developing a version of non-commutative geometry described by Hilbert C*-bimodules associated to a semi-group of completely positive contractions, an approach that is directly related to the analysis of the properties of the heat-kernel of the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds (see N. Berline-E. Getzler-M. Vergne [BGV]);
- M. Rieffel [Ri2], and along similar lines N. Weaver [We1, We2], have developed a theory of non-commutative compact metric spaces based on Lipschitz algebras.
- Following an idea of G. Parfionov-R. Zapatrin [PZ], V. Moretti [Mo] has generalized Connes' distance formula (using the D'Alembert operator) to the case of Lorentzian globally hyperbolic manifolds and has developed an approach to Lorentzian non-commutative geometry based on C*-algebras whose relations with Strohmaier's spectral triples is intriguing.
- In algebraic quantum field theory (see section 5.3), S. Doplicher-K. Fredenhagen J. Roberts [DFR1, DFR2] (and also S. Doplicher [Do2, Do3, Do4]) have developed a model of Poincaré covariant quantum spacetime.
- O. Bratteli and collaborators [B, BR] and more recently M. Madore [Ma] have been approaching the definition of non-commutative differential geometries through modules of derivations over the algebra of "smooth functions".
- Strictly related to the previous approach there is a formidable literature (see for example S. Majid [Maj1, Maj2]) on non-commutative geometry based on "quantum groups" structures (Hopf algebras).
- Most of the physics literature use the term non-commutative geometry to indicate non-commutative spaces obtained by a quantum "deformation" of a classical commutative space.

4 Categories in Non-Commutative Geometry.

After the discussion of "objects" in non-commutative geometry, we now shift our attention to some very tentative definitions of morphism of non-commutative spaces and of categories of non-commutative spaces.

In the first subsection we present morphisms of "spectral geometries". We limit our discussion essentially to the case of morphisms of A. Connes' spectral triples, although we expect that similar notions might be developed also for other spectral geometries.

In the second subsection we describe some other extremely important categories of "non-commutative spaces" that arise, at the "topological level", from "variations on the theme" of Morita equivalence.

Finally we indicate some direction of future research.

4.1 Morphisms of Spectral Triples.

Having described A. Connes spectral triples and somehow justified the fact that spectral triples are a possible definition for "non-commutative" compact finite-dimensional orientable Riemannian spin manifolds, our next goal here is to discuss definitions of "morphisms" between spectral triples and to construct categories of spectral triples.

Even for spectral triples, there are actually several possible notions of morphism, according to the amount of "background structure" of the manifold that we would like to see preserved:[26]

- the metric, globally (isometries),
- the metric, locally (totally geodesic maps, in the differentiable case),
- the Riemannian structure,
- the differentiable structure,

4.1.1 Totally-Geodesic-Spin Morphisms.

This is the notion of morphism of spectral triples that we proposed in [BCL1].

Given two spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{H}_j, D_j)$, with $j = 1, 2$, a **morphism of spectral triples** is a pair

$$(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, D_1) \xrightarrow{(\phi,\Phi)} (\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, D_2),$$

where $\phi : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ is a $*$-morphism between the pre-C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ is a bounded linear map in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ that "intertwines" the representations $\pi_1, \pi_2 \circ \phi$ and the Dirac operators D_1, D_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_2(\phi(x)) \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \pi_1(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_1, \\ &D_2 \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ D_1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

i.e. such that the following diagrams commute for every $x \in \mathcal{A}_1$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "D_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & \mathcal{H}_2 \arrow[d, "D_2"] \\ \mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \mathcal{H}_2 \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1(x)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & \mathcal{H}_2 \arrow[d, "\pi_2\circ\phi(x)"] \\ \mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \mathcal{H}_2 \end{tikzcd}$$

Of course, the intertwining relation between the Dirac operators makes sense only on the domain of D_1.

It is possible (in the case of even and/or real spectral triples) to require also commutations between Φ and the grading operators and/or the real structures. More specifically:

> a **morphism of real spectral triples** $(\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{H}_j, D_j, J_j)$, is a morphism of spectral triples, as above, such that Φ also "intertwines" the real structure operators J_1, J_2: $J_2 \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ J_1$;
>
> a **morphism of even spectral triples** $(\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{H}_j, D_j, \Gamma_j)$, with $j = 1, 2$, is a morphism of spectral triples, as above, such that Φ also "intertwines" with the grading operators Γ_1, Γ_2: $\Gamma_2 \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \Gamma_1$.

Clearly this definition of morphism contains as a special case the notion of (unitary) equivalence of spectral triples [FGV, pp. 485-486] and implies quite a strong relationship between the spectra of the Dirac operators of the two spectral triples.

Loosely speaking, for ϕ epi and Φ coisometric (respectively mono and isometric), in the commutative case[27], one expects such definition to become relevant only for maps that

---

[26] And also depending on the kind of topological properties that we would like to "attach" to our morphisms: orientation, spinoriality, ...

[27] The details are developed in: P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Non-commutative Totally Geodesic Submanifolds and Quotient Manifolds, in preparation.

"preserve the geodesic structures" (totally geodesic immersions and respectively totally geodesic submersions). Note that (already in the commutative case) these maps might not necessarily be metric isometries: totally geodesic maps are local isometries but not always global isometries (but we do not have a counterexample yet).

Furthermore these morphisms depend, at least in some sense, on the spin structures:[28] this "spinorial rigidity" (at least in the case of morphisms of real even spectral triples) requires that such morphisms between spectral triples of different dimensions might be possible only when the difference in dimension is a multiple of 8.

It might be interesting to examine alternative sets of conditions on the pairs (ϕ, Φ) that allow for example to formalize the notion of "immersion" of a non-commutative manifold into another with arbitrary higher dimension, avoiding the requirements coming from the spinorial structures. Some preliminary considerations along similar lines have been independently proposed by A. Sitarz [Si] in his habilitation thesis. There it was suggested that the appropriate morphisms satisfy some "graded intertwining relations" with the relevant operators, indicating the possibility to formalize suitable sign rules depending on the involved dimensions (modulo 8). We plan to elaborate on this topic elsewhere[29].

4.1.2 Metric Morphisms.

In [BCL2] we introduce the following notion of metric morphisms. Given two spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{H}_j, D_j)$, with $j = 1, 2$, denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_j)$ the sets of pure states over (the norm closure of) $\mathcal{A}_j$. A **metric morphism** of spectral triples

$$(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, D_1) \xrightarrow{\phi} (\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, D_2)$$

is by definition a unital epimorphism[30] $\phi : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ of pre-C*-algebras whose pull-back $\phi^\bullet : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_2) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ is an isometry, i.e.

$$d_{D_1}(\phi^\bullet(\omega_1), \phi^\bullet(\omega_2)) = d_{D_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_2).$$

This notion of morphism is "essentially blind" to the spin structures of the non-commutative manifolds (that in this case appears only as a necessary complication[31]).

4.1.3 Riemannian Morphisms.

A less rigid notion of morphism of spectral triples (a definition that, for unitary maps, was introduced by R. Verch and M. Paschke [PV1]) consists of relaxing the "intertwining" condition (4.1) between Φ and the Dirac operators, imposing only "intertwining relations" with the commutators of Dirac operators with elements of the algebras. In more detail: given two spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{H}_j, D_j)$, with $j = 1, 2$, a **Riemannian morphism of spectral triples** is a pair

$$(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, D_1) \xrightarrow{(\phi, \Phi)} (\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, D_2),$$

---

[28] In the case of morphisms of even real spectral triples, the map should preserve in the strongest possible sense the spin and orientation structures of the manifolds (whatever this might mean).

[29] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Morphism of Spectral Triples and Spin Manifolds, work in progress.

[30] Note that if ϕ is an epimorphism, its pull-back $\phi^\bullet$ maps pure states into pure states.

[31] Since it is possible to define functional distances using also Laplacian operators, we expect this notion to continue to make sense once a suitable notion of "Laplacian non-commutative manifold" is developed.

where $\phi : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ is a $*$-morphism between the pre-C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ is a bounded linear map in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ that "intertwines" the representations $\pi_1, \pi_2 \circ \phi$ and the commutators of the Dirac operators D_1, D_2 with the elements $x \in \mathcal{A}_1, \phi(x) \in \mathcal{A}_2$:

$$\pi_2(\phi(x)) \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \pi_1(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_1,$$
$$[D_2, \phi(x)] \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ [D_1, x], \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_1,$$

i.e. such that the following diagrams commute for every $x \in \mathcal{A}_1$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "{[D_1,x]}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & \mathcal{H}_2 \arrow[d, "{[D_2,\phi(x)]}"] \\
\mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \mathcal{H}_2
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1(x)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & \mathcal{H}_2 \arrow[d, "\pi_2\circ\phi(x)"] \\
\mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \mathcal{H}_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

Again the intertwining relation containing the Dirac operators makes sense only on the relevant domain.

In the commutative case, when ϕ is epi and Φ is coisometric (respectively mono and isometric), this definition is expected to correspond to the Riemannian isometries (respectively coisometries) of compact finite-dimensional orientable Riemannian spin manifolds.

↬ These notions of morphism of spectral triples are only tentative and more examples need to be tested. As pointed out by A. Rennie, it is likely that the "correct" definition of morphism will evolve, but it will surely reflect the basic structure suggested here. At the "topological level" pair of maps (ϕ, Φ) that intertwine the actions of the algebras on the respective Hilbert spaces (but not the Dirac operators or their commutators), have recently been used by P. Ivankov-N. Ivankov [II] for the definition of finite covering (and fundamental group) of a spectral triple.

↬ The several notions of morphism of spectral triples described above are not as general as possible. In a wider perspective[32], a morphism of spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{H}_j, D_j)$, where $j = 1, 2$, might be formalized as a "suitable" functor $\mathfrak{F} : {}_{\mathcal{A}_2}\mathscr{M} \to {}_{\mathcal{A}_1}\mathscr{M}$, between the categories ${}_{\mathcal{A}_j}\mathscr{M}$ of $\mathcal{A}_j$-modules, having "appropriate intertwining" properties with the Dirac operators D_j. Now, under some "mild" hypothesis, by Eilenberg-Gabriel-Watt theorem, any such functor is given by "tensorization" by a bimodule. These bimodules, suitably equipped with spectral data (as in the case of spectral triples), provide the natural setting for a general theory of morphisms of non-commutative spaces.

4.1.4 Morita Morphisms.

In the previous subsections we described in some detail some proposed notions of morphism of "non-commutative spaces" (described as spectral triples) at the "metric" level. A few other discussions of non-commutative geometry in a suitable categorical framework, have already appeared in the literature in a more or less explicit form. Most of them deal essentially with morphisms at the "topological level" and are making use of the notion of Morita equivalence that we are going to introduce.

Definition 4.1. *Two unital* C**-algebras* $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ *are said to be* ***strongly Morita equivalent*** *if there exists an imprimitivity bimodule* ${}_{\mathcal{A}}X_{\mathcal{B}}$.

---

[32] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Categories of Spectral Triples and Morita Equivalence, in preparation.

It is a standard procedure in algebraic geometry, to define “spaces” dually by their “spectra” i.e. by the categories of (equivalence classes of) representations of their algebras. Hence, for a given unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we consider its category ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathscr{M}$ of (isomorphism classes of) left C*-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-modules with morphisms given by (equivalence classes of) $\mathcal{A}$-linear module maps.

Morphisms between these “non-commutative spectra” are given by covariant functors between the categories of modules.[33]

The Eilenberg-Gabriel-Watt theorem assures that under suitable conditions every functor $\mathfrak{F} : {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathscr{M} \to {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathscr{M}$ coincides “up to a natural equivalence” with the functor given by left tensorization with a C*-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule ${}_{\mathcal{B}}X_{\mathcal{A}}$ (with X unique up to isomorphism of bimodules) i.e.:

$$\mathfrak{F}({}_{\mathcal{A}}E) \simeq {}_{\mathcal{B}}X_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes {}_{\mathcal{A}}E.$$

Y. Manin [M] has been advocating the use of such “Morita morphisms” (tensorizations with Hilbert C*-bimodules) as the natural notion of morphism of non-commutative spaces. In [C2, C3, C4] A. Connes already discussed how to transfer a given Dirac operator using Morita equivalence bimodules and compatible connections on them, thus leading to the concept of “inner deformations” of a spectral geometry underlying the “transformation rule” $\widetilde{D} = D + A + JAJ^{-1}$ (where A denotes the “connection”). In our preprint[34], we try to define a strictly related category of spectral triples, based on the notions of connection on a Morita morphism, that contains “inner deformations” as isomorphisms.

More specifically, given two spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{H}_j, D_j)$, with $j = 1, 2$, by a **Morita-Connes** morphism of spectral triples, we mean a pair (X, ∇) where X is Morita morphism from $\mathcal{A}_1$ to $\mathcal{A}_2$ i.e. an $\mathcal{A}_1$-$\mathcal{A}_2$-bimodule that is a Hilbert C*-module over $\mathcal{A}_1$ and ∇ is a Riemannian connection on the bimodule X (the Dirac operators are related to the connection ∇ by the “inner deformation” formula). The composition of two Morita-Connes morphisms (X^1, ∇^1) and (X^2, ∇^2) is defined by taking the tensor product $X^3 := X^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_1} X^1$ of the bimodules and taking the connection ∇^3 on X given by:

$$\nabla^3(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2)(h_3) := \xi_1 \otimes (\nabla^2\xi_2)(h_3) + (\nabla^1\xi_1)(\xi_2 \otimes h_3).$$

In a remarkable recent paper, A. Connes-C. Consani-M. Marcolli [CCM] have been pushing even further the notion of “Morita morphism” defining morphisms between two algebras $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ as “homotopy classes” of bimodules in G. Kasparov KK-theory $KK(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. In this way, every morphism is determined by a bimodule that is further equipped with additional structure (Fredholm module).

In the same paper [CCM], A. Connes and collaborators provide ground for considering “cyclic cohomology” as an “absolute cohomology of non-commutative motives” and the category of modules over the “cyclic category” (already defined by A. Connes-H. Moscovici [CMo2]) as a “non-commutative motivic cohomology”.

- ↬ All the notions of categories of non-commutative spaces developed from the notion of Morita morphism, seem to be confined to the topological setting. Morita equivalence in itself is a non-commutative “topological” notion. It is widely believed that Morita equivalent algebras should be considered as describing the “same” space. This comes from the fact that most of the “geometric functors” for commutative spaces when suitably extended to the non-commutative case are invariant under Morita equivalences (because Morita equivalence reduces to isomorphism for commutative algebras). Anyway, most of the success of Connes' non-commutative geometry actually comes from the fact that some commutative algebras are replaced with some other Morita equivalent non-commutative algebras that are able to describe in a much better way the geometry of the "singular space".

---

[33] This kind of “ideology” about categories of “non-commutative spectra” is very fashionable in “non-commutative algebraic geometry” (see for example M. Kontsevich and A. Rosenberg [KR1, KR2, R]).

[34] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Categories of Spectral Triples and Morita Equivalence, in preparation.

In a more direct way, it seems that the correct way to associate a C*-algebra to a space, requires the direct input of the natural symmetries of the space (hence Morita equivalence is broken). Along these lines we have some work in progress on non-commutative Klein program[35].

Although the formalization of the notion of morphism as a bimodule is probably here to stay, additional structures on the bimodule will be required to account for different level of "rigidity" (metric, Riemannian, differential, ...) and some of these, are probably going to break Morita equivariance as long as non-topological properties are concerned.

↬ Finally we note that we have not been discussing here the role of quantum groups as possible symmetries of spectral triples (see for example the recent paper by D. Goswami [Go3] discussing quantum isometries of spectral triples).

4.2 Categorification (Topological Level).

Categorification is the term, introduced by L. Crane-D. Yetter [CY], to denote the generic process to substitute ordinary algebraic structures with categorical counterparts. The term is now mostly used to denote a wide area of research (see J. Baez - J. Dolan [BD2]) whose purpose is to use higher order categories to define categorial analogs of algebraic structures. This **vertical categorification**[36] is usually done by promoting sets to categories, functions to functors, ... hence replacing a category with a bi-category and so on. In non-commutative geometry, where usually spaces are defined "dually" by "spectra" i.e. categories of representations of their algebras of functions, this is a kind of compulsory step: morphisms of non-commutative spaces are actually particular functors between "spectra". In this sense, non-commutative geometry (and also ordinary commutative algebraic geometry of schemes) is already a kind of vertical categorification.

There are also more "trivial" forms of **horizontal categorification** in which ordinary algebraic associative structures are interpreted as categories with only one object and suitable analog categories with more than one object are defined. In this case the passage is from endomorphisms of a single object to morphisms between different objects[37]:

Monoids	Small Categories (Monoidoids)
Groups	Groupoids
Associative Unital Rings	Ringoids
Associative Unital Algebras	Algebroids
Unital C*-algebras	C*-categories (C*-algebroids)

---

[35] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Non-commutative Klein-Cartan Program, work in progress.

[36] In general a n-category get replaced with a $n+1$-category, increasing the "depth" of the available morphisms, hence the terminology "vertical" adopted here.

[37] Hence the name "horizontal", adopted here, that implies that no jump in the "depth" of morphisms is required. J. Baez [B] prefers to use the term **oidization** for this case.

It is an extremely interesting future topic of investigation to discuss the interplay between ideas of categorification and non-commutative geometry ... here we are really only at the beginning of a long journey and we can present only a few ideas.[38]

4.2.1 Horizontal Categorification of Gel'fand Duality.

As a first step in the development of a "categorical non-commutative geometry", we have been looking at a possible "horizontal categorification" of Gel'fand duality (theorem 3.1). In practice, the purpose is:

- to find "suitable embedding functors" $F : \mathscr{T}^{(1)} \to \mathscr{T}$ and $G : \mathscr{A}^{(1)} \to \mathscr{A}$ of the categories $\mathscr{T}^{(1)}$ (of compact Hausdorff topological spaces) and $\mathscr{A}^{(1)}$ (of unital commutative C*-algebras) into two categories $\mathscr{T}$ and $\mathscr{A}$;
- to extend the categorical duality $(\Gamma^{(1)}, \Sigma^{(1)})$ between $\mathscr{T}^{(1)}$ and $\mathscr{A}^{(1)}$ provided by Gel'fand theorem, to a categorical duality between $\mathscr{T}$ and $\mathscr{A}$ in such a way that the following diagrams are commutative up to natural isomorphisms η, ξ:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{T}^{(1)} \arrow[r, shift left, "\Gamma^{(1)}"] \arrow[d, "F"'] & \mathscr{A}^{(1)} \arrow[l, shift left, "\Sigma^{(1)}"] \arrow[d, "G"] \\
\mathscr{T} \arrow[r, shift left, "\Gamma"] & \mathscr{A}, \arrow[l, shift left, "\Sigma"]
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
F \circ \Sigma^{(1)} \arrow[r, "\eta"] & \Sigma \circ G, \\
G \circ \Gamma^{(1)} \arrow[r, "\xi"'] & \Gamma \circ F.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathscr{A}^{(1)}$ is a full subcategory of the category of C*-algebras, we identify the horizontal categorification of $\mathscr{A}^{(1)}$ as a subcategory of the category of small C*-categories.

In [BCL3], in the setting of C*-categories, we provide a definition of "spectrum" of a commutative full C*-category as a one dimensional saturated unital Fell-bundle over a suitable groupoid (equivalence relation) and we prove a categorical Gel'fand duality theorem generalizing the usual Gel'fand duality between the categories of Abelian C*-algebras and compact Hausdorff spaces.

As a byproduct, we also obtain the following spectral theorem for imprimitivity bimodules over Abelian C*-algebras: every such bimodule is obtained by "twisting" (by the 2 projection homeomorphisms) the symmetric bimodule of sections of a unique Hermitian line bundle over the graph of a unique homeomorphism between the spectra of the two C*-algebras.

Theorem 4.2 (P. Bertozzini-R. Conti-W. Lewkeeratiyutkul)**.** *Given an imprimitivity Hilbert* C**-bimodule* ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M_{\mathcal{B}}$ *over the Abelian unital* C**-algebras* $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$*, there exists a canonical homeomorphism*[39] $R_{BA} : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{B})$ *and a Hermitian line bundle* E *over* R_{BA} *such that* ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M_{\mathcal{B}}$ *is isomorphic to the (left/right) "twisting"*[40] *of the symmetric bimodule* $\Gamma(R_{BA}; E)_{C(R_{BA};\mathbb{C})}$ *of sections of the bundle* E *by the two "pull-back" isomorphisms* $\pi_A^\bullet : \mathcal{A} \to C(R_{BA}; \mathbb{C})$*,* $\pi_B^\bullet : \mathcal{B} \to C(R_{BA}; \mathbb{C})$*.*

↬ This reconstruction theorem for imprimitivity bimodules is actually only the starting point for the development of a complete "bivariant" version of Serre-Swan and

---

[38] Other approaches to the abstract concept of "categorification" have turned out to be useful in the theory of knots and links, see [Kh1, Kh2].

[39] R_{BA} is a compact Hausdorff subspace of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{B})$ homeomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{B})$) via the projections $\pi_A : R_{BA} \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\pi_B : R_{BA} \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{B})$).

[40] If M is a left module over $\mathcal{C}$ and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ is an isomorphism, the left twisting of M by ϕ is the module over $\mathcal{A}$ defined by $a \cdot x := \phi(a)x$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in M$.

> Takahashi's dualities. In this case we will generalize the previous spectral theorem to (classes of) bimodules over commutative unital C*-algebras that are more general than imprimitivity bimodules; furthermore the appropriate notion of morphism will be introduced in order to get a categorical duality. We plan to return to this subject elsewhere[41].

A C***-category** [GLR, Mit] is a category $\mathcal{C}$ such that the sets $\mathcal{C}_{AB} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ are complex Banach spaces and the compositions are bilinear maps, there is an involutive antilinear contravariant functor $* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}$ acting identically on the objects such that x^*x is a positive element in the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{C}_{AA}$ for every $x \in \mathcal{C}_{BA}$ (that is, $x^*x = y^*y$ for some $y \in \mathcal{C}_{AA}$), $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{C}_{AB},\ y \in \mathcal{C}_{BC}$, $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{C}_{BA}$.

In a C*-category $\mathcal{C}$, the sets $\mathcal{C}_{AA} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A)$ are unital C*-algebras for all $A \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The sets $\mathcal{C}_{AB} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ have a natural structure of unital Hilbert C*-bimodule on the C*-algebras $\mathcal{C}_{AA}$ on the right and $\mathcal{C}_{BB}$ on the left.

A C*-category is **commutative** if the C*-algebras $\mathcal{C}_{AA}$ are Abelian for all $A \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The C*-category $\mathcal{C}$ is **full** if all the bimodules $\mathcal{C}_{AB}$ are full[42]. A basic example is the C*-category of linear bounded maps between Hilbert spaces.

A **Banach bundle** is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector bundle (E, p, X), such that each fiber $E_x := p^{-1}(x)$ is a Banach space for all $x \in X$ in such a way that the maps $x \mapsto \|\sigma(x)\|$ are continuous for every section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X; E)$.

If the topological space X is equipped with the algebraic structure of category (let X^o be the set of its units, $r, s : X \to X^o$ its range and source maps and $X^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \times_{j=1}^{n} X \mid s(x_j) = r(x_{j+1})\}$ its set of n-composable morphisms), we further require that the composition $\circ : X^2 \to X$ is a continuous map.

If X is an involutive category i.e. there is a map $* : X \to X$ with the properties $(x^*)^* = x$ and $(x \circ y)^* = y^* \circ x^*$, for all $(x, y) \in X^2$, we also require $*$ to be continuous.

A **Fell bundle** [FD, Ku, BCL3] over the (involutive) category X is a Banach bundle (E, p, X) whose total space E is equipped with a multiplication defined on the set $E^2 := \{(e, f) \mid (p(e), p(f)) \in X^2\}$, denoted by $(e, f) \mapsto ef$, and an involution $* : E \to E$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&e(fg) = (ef)g, \quad \forall (p(e), p(f), p(g)) \in X^3,\\
&p(ef) = p(e) \circ p(f), \quad \forall e, f \in E^2,\\
&\forall x, y \in X^2,\ \text{the restriction of } (e, f) \mapsto ef \text{ to } E_x \times E_y \text{ is bilinear},\\
&\|ef\| \leq \|e\| \cdot \|f\|, \forall e, f \in E^2,\\
&(e^*)^* = e, \quad \forall e \in E,\\
&p(e^*) = p(e)^*, \forall e \in E,\\
&\forall x \in X,\ \text{the restriction of } e \mapsto e^* \text{ to } E_x \text{ is conjugate linear},\\
&(ef)^* = f^*e^*, \quad \forall e, f \in E^2,\\
&\|e^*e\| = \|e\|^2, \forall e \in E,\\
&e^*e \geq 0, \quad \forall e \in E,
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the last line we mean that e^*e is a positive element in the C*-algebra E_x with $x = p(e^*e)$.

---

[41] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Bivariant Serre-Swan Duality, in preparation.

[42] In this case $\mathcal{C}_{AB}$ are imprimitivity bimodules.

It is in fact easy to see that for every $x \in X^o$, E_x is a C*-algebra. A Fell bundle (E, p, X) is said to be **unital** if the C*-algebras E_x, for $x \in X^o$, are unital. Note that the fiber E_x has a natural structure of Hilbert C*-bimodule over the C*-algebras $E_{r(x)}$ on the left and $E_{s(x)}$ on the right. A Fell bundle is said to be **saturated** if the above Hilbert C*-bimodules E_x are full. Note also that in a saturated Fell bundle, the Hilbert C*-bimodules E_x are imprimitivity bimodules.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a set and X a compact Hausdorff topological space. We denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}} := \{(A, B) \mid A, B \in \mathcal{O}\}$ the "total" equivalence relation in $\mathcal{O}$ and by $\Delta_X := \{(p, p) \mid p \in X\}$ the "diagonal" equivalence relation in X.

Definition 4.3. *A **topological spaceoid** $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X})$ is a saturated unital rank-one Fell bundle over the product involutive topological category $\mathcal{X} := \Delta_X \times \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$.*

Let $(\mathcal{E}_j, \pi_j, \mathcal{X}_j)$, for $j = 1, 2$, be two spaceoids[43]

Definition 4.4. *A morphism of spaceoids $(\mathcal{E}_1, \pi_1, \mathcal{X}_1) \xrightarrow{(f,\mathcal{F})} (\mathcal{E}_2, \pi_2, \mathcal{X}_2)$ is a pair $(f, \mathcal{F})$ where*

- *$f := (f_\Delta, f_\mathcal{R})$ with $f_\Delta : \Delta_1 \to \Delta_2$ a continuous map of topological spaces and $f_\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{R}_1 \to \mathcal{R}_2$ an isomorphism of equivalence relations;*
- *$\mathcal{F} : f^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_2) \to \mathcal{E}_1$ is a fiberwise linear $*$-functor such that $\pi_1 \circ \mathcal{F} = (\pi_2)^f$, where $(f^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_2), \pi_2^f, \mathcal{X}_1)$ denotes an f-pull-back of $(\mathcal{E}_2, \pi_2, \mathcal{X}_2)$.*

Topological spaceoids constitute a category if composition is defined by

$$(g, \mathcal{G}) \circ (f, \mathcal{F}) := (g \circ f, \mathcal{F} \circ f^\bullet(\mathcal{G}))$$

with identities given by

$$\iota(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X}) := (\iota_\mathcal{X}, \iota_\mathcal{E}).$$

Note that $f^\bullet(g^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_3))$ is naturally a $(g \circ f)$-pull-back of $(\mathcal{E}_3, \pi_3, \mathcal{X}_3)$ and that $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X})$ is a natural $\iota_\mathcal{X}$-pull-back of itself.

The category $\mathscr{T}^{(1)}$ of continuous maps between compact Hausdorff spaces can be naturally identified with the full subcategory of the category $\mathscr{T}$ of spaceoids with index set $\mathcal{O}$ containing a single element.

To every object $X \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{T}^{(1)}}$ we associate the trivial $\mathbb{C}$-line bundle $\mathcal{X}_X \times \mathbb{C}$ over the involutive category $\mathcal{X}_X := \Delta_X \times \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ with $\mathcal{O}_X := \{X\}$ the one point set.

To every continuous map $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathscr{T}^{(1)}$ we associate the morphism $(g, \mathcal{G})$ with $g_\Delta(p, p) := (f(p), f(p))$, $g_\mathcal{R} : (X, X) \mapsto (Y, Y)$ and $\mathcal{G} := \iota_{\mathcal{X}_X \times \mathbb{C}}$.

Note that the trivial bundle over $\mathcal{X}_X$ is naturally a f-bull-back of the trivial bundle over $\mathcal{X}_Y$ hence $\mathcal{G}$ can be taken as the identity map.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be two full commutative small C*-categories (with the same cardinality of the set of objects). Denote by $\mathcal{C}_o$ and $\mathcal{D}_o$ their sets of identities.

---

[43] Where $\mathcal{X}_j = \Delta_{X_j} \times \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}_j}$, with $\mathcal{O}_j$ sets and X_j compact Hausdorff topological spaces for $j = 1, 2$.

A morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is an object bijective $*$-functor, i.e. a map such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(x + y) = \Phi(x) + \Phi(y),\ \forall x, y \in \mathcal{C}_{AB},\\
&\Phi(a \cdot x) = a \cdot \Phi(x),\ \forall x \in \mathcal{C},\ \forall a \in \mathbb{C},\\
&\Phi(x \circ y) = \Phi(x) \circ \Phi(y),\ \forall x \in \mathcal{C}_{CB},\ y \in \mathcal{C}_{BA}\\
&\Phi(x^*) = \Phi(x)^*,\ \forall x \in \mathcal{C}_{AB},\\
&\Phi(\iota) \in \mathcal{D}_o,\ \forall \iota \in \mathcal{C}_o,\\
&\Phi_o := \Phi|_{\mathcal{C}_o} : \mathcal{C}_o \to \mathcal{D}_o \quad \text{is bijective.}
\end{aligned}
$$

To every spaceoid $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X})$, with $\mathcal{X} := \Delta_X \times \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$, we can associate a full commutative C*-category $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ as follows:

- $\mathrm{Ob}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} := \mathcal{O}$;
- $\forall A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}, \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}(B, A) := \Gamma(\Delta_X \times \{(A, B)\}; \mathcal{E})$, with $\Gamma(\Delta_X \times \{(A, B)\}; \mathcal{E})$ denoting the set of continuous sections $\sigma : \Delta_X \times \{(A, B)\} \to \mathcal{E}$, $\sigma : p_{AB} \mapsto \sigma_p^{AB} \in \mathcal{E}_{p_{AB}}$ of the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to the base space $\Delta_X \times \{(A, B)\} \subset \mathcal{X}$;
- for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}(A, B)$ and $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}(B, C)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\rho \circ \sigma : p_{AC} \mapsto (\rho \circ \sigma)_p^{AC} := \rho_p^{AB} \circ \sigma_p^{BC},\\
&\sigma^* : p_{BA} \mapsto (\sigma^*)_p^{BA} := (\sigma_p^{AB})^*,\\
&\|\sigma\| := \sup_{p \in \Delta_X} \|\sigma_p^{AB}\|_{\mathcal{E}},
\end{aligned}
$$

  with operations taken in the total space $\mathcal{E}$ of the Fell bundle.

We extend now the definition of Γ to the morphism of $\mathscr{T}$ in order to obtain a contravariant functor.

Let $(f, \mathcal{F})$ be a morphism in $\mathscr{T}$ from $(\mathcal{E}_1, \pi_1, \mathcal{X}_1)$ to $(\mathcal{E}_2, \pi_2, \mathcal{X}_2)$.

Given $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_2)$, we consider the unique section $f^\bullet(\sigma) : \mathcal{X}_1 \to f^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_2)$ such that $f^{\pi_2} \circ f^\bullet(\sigma) = \sigma \circ f$ and the composition $\mathcal{F} \circ f^\bullet(\sigma)$.

In this way we get a map

$$
\Gamma_{(f,\mathcal{F})} : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_2) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_1), \quad \Gamma_{(f,\mathcal{F})} : \sigma \mapsto \mathcal{F} \circ f^\bullet(\sigma), \quad \forall \sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_2).
$$

Proposition 4.5. *For any morphism* $(\mathcal{E}_1, \pi_1, \mathcal{X}_1) \xrightarrow{(f,\mathcal{F})} (\mathcal{E}_2, \pi_2, \mathcal{X}_2)$ *in* $\mathscr{T}$*, the map* $\Gamma_{(f,\mathcal{F})} : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_2) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_1)$ *is a morphism in* $\mathscr{A}$*.*

The pair of maps $\Gamma : (\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X}) \mapsto \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ *and* $\Gamma : (f, \mathcal{F}) \mapsto \Gamma_{(f,\mathcal{F})}$ *gives a contravariant functor from the category* $\mathscr{T}$ *of spaceoids to the category* $\mathscr{A}$ *of small full commutative* C**-categories.*

We proceed to associate to every commutative full C*-category $\mathcal{C}$ its spectral spaceoid $\Sigma(\mathcal{C}) := (\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}, \pi^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}})$.

- The set $[\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{C}]$ of $\mathbb{C}$-valued $*$-functors $\omega : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, with the weakest topology making all evaluations continuous, is a compact Hausdorff topological space.
- By definition two $*$-functors $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in [\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{C}]$ are **unitarily equivalent** if there exists a "unitary" natural trasformation $A \mapsto \nu_A \in \mathbb{T}$ between them. This is true iff $\omega_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{AA}} = \omega_2|_{\mathcal{C}_{AA}}$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

- Let $\mathrm{Sp}_b(\mathcal{C}) := \{[\omega] \mid \omega \in [\mathcal{C};\mathbb{C}]\}$ denote the **base spectrum** of $\mathcal{C}$, defined as the set of unitary equivalence classes of $*$-functors in $[\mathcal{C};\mathbb{C}]$. It is a compact Hausdorff space with the quotient topology induced by the map $\omega \mapsto [\omega]$.

- Let $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}} := \Delta^{\mathcal{C}} \times \mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{C}}$ be the direct product topological $*$-category of the compact Hausdorff $*$-category $\Delta^{\mathcal{C}} := \Delta_{\mathrm{Sp}_b(\mathcal{C})}$ and the topologically discrete $*$-category $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{C}}}$.

- For $\omega \in [\mathcal{C};\mathbb{C}]$, the set $\mathcal{I}_\omega := \{x \in \mathcal{C} \mid \omega(x) = 0\}$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\omega_1} = \mathcal{I}_{\omega_2}$ if $[\omega_1] = [\omega_2]$.

- Denoting $[\omega]_{AB}$ the point $([\omega], (A,B)) \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}}$, we define:
$$\mathcal{I}_{[\omega]_{AB}} := \mathcal{I}_\omega \cap \mathcal{C}_{AB}, \quad \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}_{[\omega]_{AB}} := \frac{\mathcal{C}_{AB}}{\mathcal{I}_{[\omega]_{AB}}}, \quad \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}} := \biguplus_{[\omega]_{AB} \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}_{[\omega]_{AB}}.$$

Proposition 4.6. *The map $\pi^{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}}$, that sends an element $e \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}_{[\omega]_{AB}}$ to the point $[\omega]_{AB} \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}}$ has a natural structure of unital saturated rank one Fell bundle over the topological involutive category $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}}$.*

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be an object-bijective $*$-functor between two small commutative full C^*-categories with spaceoids $\Sigma(\mathcal{C}), \Sigma(\mathcal{D}) \in \mathscr{T}$.

We define a morphism $\Sigma^\Phi : \Sigma(\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{(\lambda^\Phi, \Lambda^\Phi)} \Sigma(\mathcal{C})$ in the category $\mathscr{T}$:

- $\lambda^\Phi : \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{(\lambda^\Phi_\Delta, \lambda^\Phi_{\mathcal{R}})} \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}}$ where
  $\lambda^\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(A,B) := (\Phi_o^{-1}(A), \Phi_o^{-1}(B))$, for all $(A,B) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{D}}}$;
  $\lambda^\Phi_\Delta([\omega]) := [\omega \circ \Phi] \in \Delta_{\mathrm{Sp}_b(\mathcal{C})}$, for all $[\omega] \in \Delta_{\mathrm{Sp}_b(\mathcal{D})}$.

- The bundle $\biguplus_{[\omega]_{AB} \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{D}}} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda^\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(AB)}}{\mathcal{I}_{\lambda^\Phi([\omega]_{AB})}}$ with the maps
  $\pi^\Phi : ([\omega]_{AB},\ x + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda^\Phi([\omega]_{AB})}) \mapsto [\omega]_{AB} \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{D}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda^\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(AB)}$,
  $\Phi^\pi : ([\omega]_{AB},\ x + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda^\Phi([\omega]_{AB})}) \mapsto (\lambda^\Phi([\omega]_{AB}), x + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda^\Phi([\omega]_{AB})}) \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}$
  is a λ^Φ-pull-back $(\lambda^\Phi)^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}})$ of the Fell bundle $(\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}, \pi^{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}})$.

- Since $\Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda^\Phi([\omega]_{AB})}) \subset \mathcal{I}_{[\omega]_{AB}}$ for $[\omega]_{AB} \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{D}}$, we can define a map
  $\Lambda^\Phi : (\lambda^\Phi)^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}) \to \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}$ by $\Big([\omega]_{AB},\ x + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda^\Phi([\omega]_{AB})}\Big) \mapsto \Big([\omega]_{AB},\ \Phi(x) + \mathcal{I}_{[\omega]_{AB}}\Big)$.

Proposition 4.7. *For any morphism $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{D}$ in $\mathscr{A}$, the map $\Sigma(\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\Phi} \Sigma(\mathcal{C})$ is a morphism of spectral spaceoids. The pair of maps $\Sigma : \mathcal{C} \mapsto \Sigma(\mathcal{C})$ and $\Sigma : \Phi \mapsto \Sigma^\Phi$ give a contravariant functor $\Sigma : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{T}$, from the category $\mathscr{A}$ of object-bijective $*$-functors between small commutative full C^*-categories to the category $\mathscr{T}$ of spaceoids.*

We can now state our main duality theorem for commutative full C^*-categories:

Theorem 4.8 (P. Bertozzini-R.Conti-W. Lewkeeratiyutkul)**.** *There exists a duality (Γ, Σ) between the category $\mathscr{T}$ of object-bijective morphisms between spaceoids and the category $\mathscr{A}$ of object-bijective $*$-functors between small commutative full C^*-categories, where*

- *Γ is the functor that to every spaceoid $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X}) \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathscr{T}}$ associates the small commutative full C^*-category $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ and that to every morphism between spaceoids $(f, \mathcal{F}) : (\mathcal{E}_1, \pi_1, \mathcal{X}_1) \to (\mathcal{E}_2, \pi_2, \mathcal{X}_2)$ associates the $*$-functor $\Gamma_{(f,\mathcal{F})}$;*

- Σ *is the functor that to every small commutative full* C^**-category* $\mathcal{C}$ *associates its spectral spaceoid* $\Sigma(\mathcal{C})$ *and that to every object-bijective* $*$*-functor* $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ *of* C^**-categories in* $\mathscr{A}$ *associates the morphism* $\Sigma^\Phi : \Sigma(\mathcal{D}) \to \Sigma(\mathcal{C})$ *between spaceoids.*

The natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}} \to \Gamma\circ\Sigma$ is provided by the **horizontally categorified Gel'fand transforms** $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \to \Gamma(\Sigma(\mathcal{C}))$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \to \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}}), \quad \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{C}} : x \mapsto \hat{x} \quad \text{where}$$
$$\hat{x}^{AB}_{[\omega]} := x + \mathcal{J}_{[\omega]_{AB}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C}_{AB}.$$

Proposition 4.9. *The functor* $\Gamma : \mathscr{T} \to \mathscr{A}$ *is representative i.e. given a commutative full* C^**-category* $\mathcal{C}$*, the Gel'fand transform* $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \to \Gamma(\Sigma(\mathcal{C}))$ *is a full isometric (hence faithful)* $*$*-functor.*

The natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{E} : \mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{T}} \to \Sigma\circ\Gamma$ is provided by the **horizontally categorified "evaluation" transforms** $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{E}} : (\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{(\eta^{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^{\mathcal{E}})} \Sigma(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}))$, defined as follows:

- $\eta^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{R}}(A, B) := (A, B), \quad \forall (A, B) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$.
- $\eta^{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta} : p \mapsto [\gamma\circ\mathrm{ev}_p] \quad \forall p \in \Delta_X$, where the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_p : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \to \uplus_{(AB)\in\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}} \mathcal{E}_{p_{AB}}$ given by $\mathrm{ev}_p : \sigma \mapsto \sigma^{AB}_p$ is a $*$-functor with values in a one dimensional C^*-category that determines[44] a unique point $[\gamma \circ \mathrm{ev}_p] \in \Delta_{\mathrm{Sp}_b(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}))}$.
- $\biguplus_{p_{AB}\in\mathcal{X}} \Gamma(\mathcal{E})_{\eta^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{R}}(AB)}/\mathcal{J}_{\eta^{\mathcal{E}}(p_{AB})}$ when equipped with the natural projection $(p_{AB},\ \sigma + \mathcal{J}_{\eta^{\mathcal{E}}(p_{AB})}) \mapsto p_{AB}$, and with the $\mathcal{E}^{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$-valued map $(p_{AB},\ \sigma+\mathcal{J}_{\eta^{\mathcal{E}}(p_{AB})}) \mapsto \sigma+\mathcal{J}_{\eta^{\mathcal{E}}(p_{AB})}$, is a $\eta^{\mathcal{E}}$-pull-back $(\eta^{\mathcal{E}})^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})})$ of $\Sigma(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}))$.
- $\Omega^{\mathcal{E}} : (\eta^{\mathcal{E}})^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}) \to \mathcal{E}$ is defined by
  $\Omega^{\mathcal{E}} : (p_{AB},\ \sigma + \mathcal{J}_{\eta^{\mathcal{E}}(p_{AB})}) \mapsto \sigma^{AB}_p, \quad \forall \sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})_{AB}, \quad p_{AB} \in \mathcal{X}$.

In particular, with such definitions we can prove:

Proposition 4.10. *The functor* $\Sigma : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{T}$ *is representative i.e. given a spaceoid* $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X})$*, the evaluation transform* $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{E}} : (\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{X}) \to \Sigma(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}))$ *is an isomorphism in the category of spaceoids.*

We are now working on a number of generalizations and extensions of our horizontal categorified Gel'fand duality:

- ↬ The first immediate possibility is to extend Gel'fand duality to include the case of categories of general $*$-functors between full commutative C^*-categories. This will necessarily require the consideration of categories of $*$-relators (see [BCL1]) between C^*-categories.
- ↬ Our duality theorem is for now limited to the case of full commutative C^*-categories and further work is necessary in order to extend the result to a Gel'fand duality for non-full C^*-categories.
- ↬ Very interesting is the possibility to generalize our duality to a full spectral theory for non-commutative C^*-categories in term of endofunctors in the category of Fell bundles. In particular we would like to explore if our approach will allow to develope categorifications of Dauns-Hofmann [DH] and Cirelli-Manià-Pizzocchero [CMP] spectral theorems for general non-commutative C^*-algebras.

---

[44] There is always a $\mathbb{C}$ valued $*$-functor $\gamma : \uplus_{(AB)\in\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}} \mathcal{E}_{p_{AB}} \to \mathbb{C}$ and any two compositions of ev_p with such $*$-functors are unitarily equivalent because they coincide on the diagonal C^*-algebras $\mathcal{E}_{p_{AA}}$.

- In the same order of ideas, motivated by a general spectral theory for C*-categories, it is worth investigating in the non-commutative case the connection between C*-categories, spectral spaceoids and categorified notions of (locale) quantale already developed for (commutative) C*-algebras (see D. Kruml-J. Pelletier-P. Resende-J. Rosicky [KPRR], D. Kruml-P. Resende [KrR] P. Resende [Res], L. Crane [Cr2] and references therein for details).

- The existence of a horizontal categorified Gel'fand transform might be relevant for the study of harmonic analysis on commutative groupoids. In this direction it is natural to investigate the implications for a Pontrjagin duality for commutative groupoids and later, in a fully non-commutative context, the relations with the theory of C*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries that has been recently developed by T. Timmermann [Ti1, Ti2].

- Extremely intriguing for its possible physical implications in algebraic quantum field theory is the appearance of a natural "local gauge structure" on the spectra: the spectrum is no more just a (topological) space, but a special fiber bundle. Possible relations with the work of E. Vasselli [Va] on continous fields of C*-categories in the theory of superselection sectors and especially with the recent work on net bundles and gauge theory by J. Roberts-G. Ruzzi-E. Vasselli [RRV] remain to be explored.

4.2.2 Higher C*-categories.

In our last forthcoming work [45], we proceed to further extend the categorification process of Gel'fand duality theorem to a full "vertical categorification" [Ba1].

For this purpose we first provide, via globular sets (see T. Leinster's book [Le]), a suitable definition of "strict" n-C*-category.

In practice, without entering here in further technical details, a strict higher C*-category $\mathcal{C}$ (or more generally a higher Fell bundle over a higher $*$-category $\mathcal{X}$), is provided by a strict higher $*$-category $\mathcal{C}$ fibered over a strict higher $*$-category $\mathcal{X}$ whose compositions and involutions satisfy, fiberwise at all levels, "appropriate versions" of all the properties listed in the definition of a Fell bundle.

In the special case of commutative full strict n-C*-categories, we develope a spectral Gel'fand theorem in term of n-spaceoids i.e. rank-one n-C*-Fell bundles over a "particular" n-$*$-category (that is given by the direct product of the diagonal equivalence relation of a compact Hausdorff space and the quotient n-$*$-category $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}$ of an n-C*-category $\mathcal{C}$).

- Unfortunately our definition is for now limited to the case of strict higher C*-categories. Of course, as always the case in higher category theory, an even more interesting problem will be the characterization of suitable axioms for "weak higher C*-categories". This is one of the main obstacles in the development of a full categorification of the notion of spectral triple and of A. Connes non-commutative geometry.

- Note that several examples and definitions of 2-C*-categories are already available in the literature (see for example R. Longo-J. Roberts [LR] and P. Zito [Z]). In general such cases will not exactly fit with the strict version of our axioms for n-C*-categories. Actually we expect to have a complete hierarchy of definitions of higher C*-categories depending on the "depth" at which some axioms are required to be satisfied (i.e. some properties can be required to hold only for p-arrows with p higher that a certain depth).

---

[45] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, N. Suthichitranont, Higher C*-ategories and Categorification of Gel'fand Theory, in preparation.

- In our work, we define (Hilbert C*) modules over strict n-C*-categories and in this way we can provide interesting definitions of n-Hilbert spaces and start a development of "higher functional analysis".

4.3 Categorical Non-commutative Geometry and Non-commutative Topoi.

One of the main goals of our investigation is to discuss the interplay between ideas of categorification and non-commutative geometry. Here there is still much to be done and we can present only a few suggestions. Work is in progress.

- Every isomorphism class of a full commutative C*-category can be identified with an equivalence relation in the Picard-Morita 1-category of Abelian unital C*-algebras. In practice a C*-category is just a "strict implementation" of an equivalence relation subcategory of Picard-Morita.

  Since morphism of spectral triples (more generally morphisms of non-commutative spaces) are essentially "special cases" of Morita morphisms, we started the study of "spectral triples over C*-categories" and we are now trying to develop a notion of horizontal categorification of spectral triples (and of other spectral geometries) in order to identify a correct definition of morphism of spectral triples that supports a duality with a suitable spectrum (in the commutative case).

  The general picture that is emerging[46] is that a correct notion of metric morphism between spectral triples is given by a kind of "bivariant version" of spectral triple i.e. a bimodule over two different algebras that is equipped with a left/right action of "Dirac-like" operators.

- As a very first step in the direction of a full "higher non-commutative geometry"[47] we plan to start the study of a strict version of "higher spectral triples" i.e. spectral triples over strict higher C*-categories. As in the case of horizontal categorification, this will provide some hints for a correct definition of "higher spectral triples".

- Although at the moment it is only a speculative idea, it is very interesting to explore the possible relation between such "higher spectra" (higher spaceoids) and the notions of stacks and gerbes already used in higher gauge theory. The recent work by C. Daenzer [Dae] in the context of T-duality discuss a Pontryagin duality between commutative principal bundles and gerbes that might be connected with our categorified Gel'fand transform for commutative C*-categories.

- Extremely intriguing is the possible connection between the notions of (category of) spectral triples and A. Grothendieck topoi. Speculations in this direction have been given by P. Cartier [Car] and are also discussed by A. Connes [C10]. A full

---

[46] P. Bertozzini-R. Conti-W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Horizontal Categorification of Spectral Triples, in preparation.

[47] On this topic the reader is strongly advised to read the interesting discussions on the "n-category café" `http://golem.ph.utexas.edu/category/` and in particular: U. Schreiber, Connes Spectral Geometry and the Standard Model II, 06 September 2006.

(categorical) notion of non-commutative space (non-commutative Klein program / non-commutative Grothendieck topos) is still waiting to be defined[48].

Actually some interesting proposal for a definition of a "quantum topos" is already available in the recent work by L. Crane [Cr2] based on the notion of "quantaloids", a categorification of the notion of quantale (see P. Resende [Res] and references therein).

At this level of generality, it is important to emphasize that our discussion of non-commutative geometry has been essentially confined to the consideration of A. Connes' approach. In the field of algebraic geometry (see V. Ginzburg [Gi], M. Kontsevich-Y. Soibelman [KS1, KS2] and S. Mahanta [Mah1, Mah2] as recent references), many other people have been trying to propose definitions of non-commutative schemes and non-commutative spaces (see for example A. Rosenberg [R] and M. Kontsevich-A. Rosenberg [KR]) as "spectra" of Abelian categories (or generalization of Abelian categories such as triangulated, dg, or A_∞ categories). Since every Abelian category is essentially a category of modules, it is in fact usually assumed that an Abelian category should be considered as a topos of sheaves over a non-commutative space.

↬ It is worth noting that the categories naturally arising in the theory of self-adjoint operator algebras and in A. Connes' non-commutative geometry are $*$-monoidal categories (see [BCL3] for detailed definitions). The monoidal property is perfectly in line with the recent proposal by T. Maszczyk [Mas] to construct a theory of algebraic non-commutative geometry based on Abelian categories equipped with a monoidal structure.

At this point it is actually tempting (in our opinion) to think that also the involutive structures (and other properties strictly related to the existence of an involution including modular theory[49]) are going to play some vital role in the correct definition of a non-commutative generalization of space. But this is still speculation in progress!

↬ Finally, there are strong indications (V. Dolgushev-D. Tamarkin-B. Tsygan [DTT])[50] coming again from "algebraic non-commutative geometry" that a proper categorification of non-commutative geometry might actually be possible only considering ∞-categories. The implications for a program of categorification of A. Connes' spectral triples is not yet clear to us.

5 Applications to Physics.

In this final section we would like to spend some time to introduce (in a non-technical way) the mathematical readers to the consideration of some extremely important topics in quantum physics that are essentially motivating the construction of non-commutative spaces, the use of categorical ideas and the eventual merging of these two lines of thought.

The two main subjects of our discussion, non-commutative geometry and category theory, have been separately used and applied in theoretical physics (although not as widely as we would have liked to see) and we are going to review here some of the main historical steps in these directions.

Anyway, our feeling is that the most important input to physics will come from a kind of "combined" approach where non-commutative and categorical structures are applied in a "synergic way" in an "algebraic theory of quantum gravity" (AQG). A concrete proposal in this direction is presented in section 5.5.2.

---

[48] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Non-commutative Klein-Cartan Program, work in progress.

[49] See section 5.5.1 for some references.

[50] See also the very detailed discussion on the blog "n-category café": J. Baez, Infinitely Categorified Calculus, 09 February 2007.

5.1 Categories in Physics.

Category theory has been conceived as a tool to formalize basic structures (functors, natural transformations) that are omnipresent in algebraic topology. Its level of abstraction has been an obstacle to its utilization even in the mathematics community and so it does not come as a surprise that fruitful applications to physics had to wait.

Probably, the first to call for the usage of categorical methods in physics has been J. Roberts in the seventies. The joint work of S. Doplicher and J. Roberts [DR1, DR2] on the theory of superselection sectors in algebraic quantum field theory[51] is one of the most eloquent examples of the power of category theory when applied to fundamental physics: giving a full explanation of the origin of compact gauge groups of the first kind and field algebras in quantum field theory and providing at the same time a general Tannaka-Kreĭn duality theory for compact groups, where the dual of a compact group is given by a particular monoidal W*-category. Since then, monoidal $*$-categories are a common topic of investigation in algebraic quantum field theory, where several people are still working on possible variants and extensions of superselection theory[52].[53]

The role of categories in physics, more recently, has been stressed also from completely different areas of research such as conformal field theory (G. Segal [Se]) and topological quantum field theory (M. Atiyah [At]).

C. Isham has been the pioneer in suggesting to consider topoi as basic structures for the construction of alternative quantum theories in which ordinary set theoretic concepts (including real/complex numbers and classical two valued logic) are replaced by more general topos theoretic notions. His research with J. Butterfield [BI1, BI2, BI3, BI4, BI5, BI6, BHI] and more recently with A. Döring [DI1, DI2, DI3, DI4] has polarized the attention towards a possible usage of topos theory in quantum mechanics [54] and quantum gravity, an idea that has influenced many other authors working on quantum gravity.

S. Abramsky-B. Coecke [AbC, Co] are developing a categorical axiomatization for quantum mechanics with intriguing links to knot theory and computer science [Ab1, Ab2].

N. Landsman [La1, La2, La3] in his study of quantization and of the relation between classical Poisson geometry and operator algebras of quantum systems, has been constantly exploiting techniques from category theory (groupoids, Morita equivalence).

J. Baez is now the most prominent advocate of the usage of categorical methods (higher category theory [Ba1, BD1], categorification [BD2]) in quantum physics and in particular in quantum gravity [Ba2, Ba3, Ba4].

A new emerging field of "categorical quantum gravity" is developing (for an overview, see L. Crane [Cr1, Cr2]).

---

[51] The texts by R. Haag [H], H. Araki [A], D. Kastler [K1] and the recent [BBIM] contain detailed introductions to superselection theory in algebraic quantum field theory.

[52] For a complete list of all relevant papers and a recent "philosophical" overview of the subject see H. Halvorson-M. Müger [HM] and also R. Brunetti-K. Fredenhagen [BrF].

[53] A large literature is of course available on monoidal categories and their application in the theory of "quantum groups" as well as many other different subjects, but we are interested here only in "basic physics" applications.

[54] See the recent paper by C. Heunen-B. Spitters [HS].

5.2 Categorical Covariance.

Covariance of physical theories has been always discussed in the limited domain of groups acting on spaces.

- Aristotles' physics is based on the covariance group $SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ of rotations in $\mathbb{R}^3$ that was the supposed symmetry group of a three dimensional vector space with the center of the Earth at the origin.
- Galilei's relativity principle requires as covariance group the Galilei group, which is the ten parameters symmetry group of the Newtonian space-time (i.e. a family E_t of three dimensional Euclidean spaces parametrized by elements t in a one dimensional Euclidean space T) generated by 3 space translations, 1 time translation, 3 rotations and 3 boosts.
- Poincaré covariance group consists of the semidirect product of Lorentz group $\mathcal{L}$ with the group of translations in $\mathbb{R}^4$ and it is the symmetry group of the four dimensional Minkowski space (an affine four dimensional space modeled on $\mathbb{R}^4$ with metric of signature $(- + ++)$).
- Einstein covariance group is the group of diffeomorphisms of a four dimensional Lorentzian manifold (note that in this case the metric and the causal structure is not preserved).

Different observers are "related" through transformations in the given covariance group.

↬ There is no deep physical or operational reason to think that only groups (or quantum groups) might be the right mathematical structure to capture the "translation" between different observers and actually, in our opinion, categories provide a much more suitable environment in which also the discussion of "partial translations" between observers can be described. Work is in progress on these issues [B].

The substitution of groups with categories (or graphs), as the basic covariance structure of theories, should be a key ingredient for all the approaches based on deduction of physics from operationally founded principles of information theory (see C. Rovelli [Ro3] and A. Grinbaum [Gri1, Gri2, Gri3]) and, in the context of quantum gravity, also for theories based on the formalism of quantum casual histories (see for example E. Hawkins-H. Sahlmann-F.Makopoulou [HMS] and F. Markopoulou [Mar3]).

As an example of the relevance of the idea of categorical covariance, we mention several new works by R. Brunetti-K. Fredenhagen-R. Verch [BFV], R. Brunetti-G. Ruzzi [BrR] and R. Brunetti-M. Porrmann-G. Ruzzi [BPR] that, following the fundamental idea of J. Dimock [Dim1, Dim2], aim at a generalization of H. Araki-R. Haag-D. Kastler algebraic quantum field theory axiomatization[55], that is suitable for an Einstein covariant background. Similar ideas are also used in the non-commutative versions of the axioms recently proposed by M. Paschke and R. Verch [PV1, PV2].

---

[55] See H. Araki's and R. Haag's books [A, H] and also K. Fredenhagen-K.-H. Rehren-H. Seiler [FRS] for a discussion and contextualization of algebraic quantum field theory.

5.3 Non-commutative Space-Time.

There are three main reasons for the introduction of non-commutative space-time structures in physics and for the deep interest developed by physicists for "non-commutative geometry" (not only A. Connes'one):

- The awareness that quantum effects (Heisenberg uncertainty principle), coupled to the general relativistic effect of the energy-momentum tensor on the curvature of space-time (Einstein equation), entail that at very small scales the space-time manifold structure might be "unphysical".
- The belief that modification to the short scale structure of space-time might help to resolve the problems of "ultraviolet divergences" in quantum field theory (that arise, by Heisenberg uncertainty, from the arbitrary high momentum associated with arbitrary small length scales) and of "singularities" in general relativity.
- The intuition that in order to include the remaining physical forces (nuclear and electromagnetic) in a "geometrization" program, going beyond the one realized for gravity by A. Einstein's general relativity, it might be necessary to make use of geometrical environments more sophisticated than those provided by usual Riemannian/Lorentzian geometry.

The first one to conjecture that, at small scales, space-time modeled by "manifolds" might not be an operationally defined concept was B. Riemann himself. A. Einstein immediately recognized the need to introduce "quantum" modifications to general relativity and M. Bronstein realized that the specific problems posed by a covariant quantization of general relativity were calling for a rejection of the usual space-time modeled via Riemannian geometry. Recently a more complete argument has been put forward by S. Doplicher-K. Fredenhagen-J. Roberts [DFR1, DFR2] and by many other in several variants.

J. Wheeler [Wh1] introduced the well-known "space-time-foam" term to define the hypothetical geometrical structure that should supersede smooth differentiable manifolds at small scales.

Non-commutative geometries are a natural candidate to replace ordinary Lorentzian smooth manifolds as the arena of physics and provide a rigorous (although incomplete, yet) formalization of the notion of space-time "fuzziness".

The notion of non-commutative space-time originated from an idea of W. Heisenberg [56] that was developed by H. Snyder [Sn]. More recently S. Doplicher-K. Fredenhagen-J. Roberts [DFR1, DFR2, Do2, Do3] described a new version of Poincaré covariant non-commutative space. An algebraic quantum field theory on such non-commutative spaces is currently under active development (see S. Doplicher [Do4] for a recent review) and there are some hopes to get in such cases a theory that is free from divergences. Many other "variants" of non-commutative space-time (mostly obtained by "deformation" of Minkowski space-time or as "homogeneuos spaces" of a "deformed" Poincaré group) and non-commutative field theory on them are now under investigation in theoretical physics (see for example J. Madore [Ma], B. Cerchiai-G. Fiore-J. Madore [CFM], G. Fiore [Fio], G. Fiore-J.Wess [FW], H. Grosse-G. Lechner [GLe] and references therein), but it is beyond our scope here to enter the details of their description.

A. Einstein has been one of the few to stress the conceptual need for a geometrical treatment of the nuclear and electromagnetic forces alongside with gravity. T. Kaluza and

---

[56] He communicated it in a letter to R. Peierls who shared the suggestion with W. Pauli and R. Oppenheimer.

O. Klein's theory of unification of electromagnetism with gravity via "extra-dimensional" Lorentzian manifolds was clearly going in this direction, but it has gained some popularity only recently, with the introduction of superstrings that, for reasons of internal consistency, require the existence of (compactified) extra dimensions and whose treatment of gravity is manifestly non-background-independent (in the sense required by general relativity).

To date, the most successful achievement in the direction of "geometrization of physical interactions", has been obtained by A. Connes [C5, CLo, C1, CM2] (see also the works by A. Chamseddine-A. Connes [CC1, CC2, CC3], A. Chamseddine-A. Connes-M. Marcolli [CCMa] and J. Barrett [Bar] for a Lorentzian version) who has promoted the view that the complexity of standard model in particle physics should be reconsidered as revealing the features of the non-commutative geometry of space-time. The program describes (for the moment only at the classical level) how gravity and all the other fundamental interactions of particle physics arise as a kind of gravitational field on a non-commutative space-time given by a spectral triple over a C*-algebra that is a tensor product of the algebra of continous function on a 4-dimensional orientable spin-manifold and a finite dimensional real C*-algebra.

5.4 Spectral Space-Time.

What we call here "spectral space-time" is the idea that space-time (commutative or not) has to be "reconstructed a posteriori", from other operationally defined degrees of freedom, in a spectral way. The origin of such "pregeometrical philosophy" is less clear.

Space-time as a "relational" a posteriori entity originate from ideas of G.W. Leibnitz, G. Berkeley, E. Mach.

Although pregeometrical speculations, in western philosophy, probably date as far back as Pythagoras, their first modern incarnation probably starts with J. Wheeler's "pregeometries" [Wh2, MLP] and "it from bit" [Wh3] proposals.

R. Geroch [Ge], with his Einstein algebras, was the first to suggest a "transition" from spaces to algebras in order to solve the problem of "singularities" in general relativity.

The fundamental idea that space-time can be completely recovered from the specification of suitable states of the system, has been the subject of scattered speculations in algebraic quantum field theory in the past by A. Ocneanu [57], S. Doplicher [Do1], U. Bannier [Ban], in the "geometric modular action" program [58] by S. Summers-R. White [SuW] and in the "modular localization" context (see R. Brunetti-D. Guido-R. Longo [BGL] and references therein) has been conjectured by N. Pinamonti [Pi].

More recently the idea has gained importance in the attempts to reconstruct quantum physics from quantum information (among others, J. Bub-R. Clifton-H. Halvorson [BCH], A. Grinbaum [Gri1, Gri2, Gri3, Gri4] and especially C. Rovelli's suggestion [Ro1, section 5.6.4]), but it is still an unsolved problem.

↬ This is probably because only now the Araki-Haag-Kastler axiomatization has been suitably extended to incorporate general covariance (R. Brunetti-K. Fredenhagen-R. Verch [BFV]), but there are, in our opinion, other fundamental issues that need to be addressed in a completely unconventional way and that are related to the "philosophical interpretation" of states and observables in the theory in "atemporal-covariant" context (following ideas of C. Isham and collaborators [I2, I3,

---

[57] As reported in A. Jadczyk [Ja].

[58] See D. Buchholz-S. Summers [BS1, BS2], D. Buchholz-M. Florig-S. Summers [BFS], D. Buchholz-O. Dreyer-M. Florig-S. Summers [BDFS], for details.

IL1, IL2, ILSS], C. Rovelli and collaborators [Ro1, Ro3, RR, MPR], J. Hartle [Hart], L. Hardy [Har1, Har2, Har3], J. Dawling-S. Jay Olson [DJO1, DJO2]).

That essential information about the underlying space-time is already contained in the algebra of observables of the system (and its Hilbert space representation) is clearly indicated by R. Feynman-F. Dyson [Dy] reconstruction of Maxwell equations (and hence of the Poincaré group of symmetries) from the commutation relations of ordinary non-relativistic quantum mechanics of a free particle, an argument recently revised and extended to non-commutative configuration spaces by T. Kopf-M. Paschke [P1, KP3].

In a slightly different context, in their discussion of the construction of the quantum theory of spin particles on a (compact Riemannian manifold), J. Fröhlich-O. Grandjean-A. Recknagel [FGR1, FGR2, FGR3, FGR4], have been considering several important unsolved aspects of the relationship between the underlying configuration space of a physical system and the actual non-commutative geometry exhibited at the level of its algebra of observables (phase-space). The solution of these problems is still fundamental in the construction of a theory of spectral space-time and quantum gravity based on algebras of observables and their states. We will have more to say about this problem in the final section 5.5.2.

↬ That non-commutative geometry provides a suitable environment for the implementation of spectral reconstruction of space-time from states and observables in quantum physics has been the main motivating idea of one us (P.B.) since 1990 and it is still an open work in progress [B].

5.5 Quantum Gravity.

Quantum gravity is the discipline of theoretical physics that deals with the interplay between quantum physics and general relativity. The need for research in this direction was actually recognized by A. Einstein since the birth of general relativity and several people started to work on it from 1930. Unfortunately, after many years of research by some of the best scientists, we do not have yet an established theory, let alone a mathematically sound frame for these questions.

Following closely C. Isham's excellent reviews [I1, I4], here below we try to summarize the several approaches to quantum gravity:[59]

a) **Quantizations of general relativity.**
Approaches of this kind, try to make use of a "standard version" of quantum mechanics to substitute (a modified) general relativity with a quantized version.

   - **Canonical Quantization** (initiated by P. Dirac-P. Bargmann, developed by R. Arnowitt-S. Deser-C. Misner and J. Wheeler-B. DeWitt and recently revived by A. Sen-A. Ashtekar and L. Smolin-L. Crane-C. Rovelli-R. Gambini and others) is probably the first non-perturbative proposal: it tries to find suitable canonical variables to describe the dynamics of classical general relativity and to perform a quantization on them. After a period of stagnation, this approach has been revived under the name of **loop quantum gravity** and it is currently the most elaborate non-perturbative (background-independent) program in quantum gravity (see C. Rovelli [Ro1, Ro2] for an introduction and also T. Thiemann [Th1, Th2, Th3]).

---

[59] See also Appendix C in C. Rovelli's book [Ro1] for a detailed history of the subject.

  - **Covariant quantization** (initiated by L. Rosenfeld, M. Fierz-W. Pauli and developed by B. DeWitt, R. Feynman, G. t'Hooft) is a background-dependent approach (usually the one preferred by particle physicists) in which the non-Minkowskian part of the metric tensor is considered as a classical field propagating on a fixed Minkowski space and quantized as any other such field. The proof of non-renormalizability of general relativity in this setting has somehow stopped any further attempts in this direction forcing researchers to take the stand that general relativity is not a fundamental theory and prompting the development of supergravity and later string theory (see approaches in c) below).
  - **Path integral quantum gravity** (initiated by C. Misner-J. Wheeler, developed by S. Hawking-J. Hartle) is a proposal that is characterized by its use of the formalism of Feynman functional integrals for quantization. In its first incarnation, **Euclidean quantum gravity**, the theory was performing a path quantization of a Riemannian version of general relativity and it was motivated by semiclassical studies by S. Hawking on the thermodynamic properties of black-holes (quantum field theory on curved space-times). Recently the approach has been revived in a Lorentzian version known as **causal dynamical triangulations** (see J. Ambjørn-J. Jurkiewicz-R. Loll [AJL] and references therein) that has achieved extremely good results in the reconstruction of some of the features of general relativity (such as the four dimensionality of space-time) in the "large scale limit".

b) **Relativizations of quantum mechanics.**
In this case we are forcing as much as possible of the formalism required by general covariance on quantum mechanics (eventually modifying it if necessary). Although the proposal is very natural, there are almost no programs following this approach, probably because traditionally "quantization" has always been the standard route;

  - K. Fredenhagen-R. Haag [FH], and more recently R. Brunetti-K. Fredenhagen-R. Verch [BFV] have been studying the problem in the context of algebraic quantum field theory.
  - A few researchers, among them B. Mielnik [Mi] and more recently A. Ashtekar-T. Schilling [AS], C. Brody-L. Hugston [BH1, BH2], have been trying to modify the usual phase-space of quantum mechanics (the Kähler manifold given by the projective space of a separable Hilbert space with the Fubini-Study metric) in order to allow more "geometrical variability" in the hope to facilitate the confrontation with general relativity.
  - Some proposal to modify quantum mechanics in a "relational" or "covariant way" starting with H. Everett-J. Wheeler and more recently with C. Rovelli [Ro3, Ro4], C. Rovelli-M. Smerlak [RS] or with the use of categories/topoi (L. Crane [Cr1, Cr2], J. Butterfield-C. Isham [BI3, BI4, BI5, I5, I6], C. Isham-A. Döring [DI1, DI2, DI3, DI4]) in order to make it suitable for quantization of general relativity (either in the case of loop quantum gravity program of other more radical approaches) can be considered also in this category.

c) **General relativity as an emergent theory.**
Here quantum mechanics and quantum field theory are considered as basic and general relativity is obtained as an approximation from a fundamental theory. These

kind of approaches pioneered by A. Sakharov are now the most fashionable among particle physicists.

  - **String theory** in all of its variants is the most popular approach to quantum gravity. We refer to M. Green-J. Schwarz-E. Witten [GSW] as a standard reference.
  - **Analog gravity** and other models of general relativity based on quantum solid state physics, acoustic, hydrodynamics. For a review, see for example G. Volovik [Vo1, Vo2, Vo3] and C. Barceló-S. Liberati-M. Visser [BLV].

d) **Quantum mechanics as an emergent theory** (without modification of general relativity).
Very few people have been trying this road, probably because everyone is expecting that a classical theory (as general relativity is) should be subject to quantum modifications in the small distances regime, there are anyway some incomplete ideas in this direction:

  - G. t'Hooft [tH1, tH2] is proposing models to replace quantum mechanics with a classical fundamental deterministic theory.
  - The theory of geons (H. Hadley [Ha1, Ha2, Ha3]), tries to simulate the quantum behaviour of elementary particles starting with localized geometrical structures on the Lorentzian manifolds of general relativity.
  - L. Smolin [Sm2] has recently considered the possibility that quantum mechanics might arise as a stochastic theory induced by non-local variables.
  - E. Prugovecki [Pr1, Pr2, Pr3] also proposed an approach to quantum mechanics through stochastic process.

e) **Pregeometrical approaches** (suggested by J. Wheeler) are alternative approaches that require at least some basic modifications of general relativity and quantum mechanics that might both "emerge" by some deeper dynamic of degrees of freedom not necessarily related to any macroscopic geometrical entity. Most of these theories are at least partially background-independent (depending on the amount of "residual" geometrical structure used to define their kinematic). The main problems arising in pregeometrical theories is usually the description of an appropriate dynamic and the recovery from it of some "approximate" description of general relativity and ordinary quantum physics in the "macroscopic" limit. The proposals that can be listed in this category are extremely heterogeneous and they might range from "generalizations" of other more conservative approaches:

  - algebraic quantum gravity: a generalization of loop quantum gravity recently developed by K. Giesel-T. Thiemann [GT1, GT2, GT3, GT4],
  - group field theory quantum gravity: a powerful extension of the path integral approach to quantum gravity proposed by D. Oriti [Or],

to more radical paths (that we collect here just for the benefit of the interested reader):

  - twistor theory (R. Penrose [PeR, Pe]),
  - quantum code (D. Finkelstein [Fi1, Fi2]),
  - causal sets (R. Sorkin [So1, So2, So3]),

- causaloids (L. Hardy [Har1, Har2, Har3, Har4, Har5]),
- computational approach (S. Lloyd [Ll1, Ll2]),
- internal quantum gravity (O. Dreyer [D1, D2, D3]),
- quantum causal history (F. Markopoulou [Mar1, Mar2, Mar3], E. Hawkins-F. Markopoulou-H. Sahlman [HMS], D. Kribs-F. Makopoulou [KM]),
- quantum graphity (T. Konopka-F. Markopoulou-L. Somolin [KMS]),
- abstract differential geometry (A. Mallios [Mal1, Mal2, Mal3], J. Raptis [Ra1, Ra2, Ra3, Ra4, Ra5, Ra6], A. Mallios-J. Raptis [MR1, MR2, MR3, MR4], A. Mallios-E. Rosinger [MRo]),
- categorical approaches (J. Baez [Ba2, Ba3, Ba4], L. Crane [Cr1, Cr2], J. Butterfield-C. Isham [BI3, BI4, BI5], C. Isham [I6, I7, I8, I9], A. Döring-C. Isham [DI1, DI2, DI3, DI4]),
- non-commutative geometry approaches:[60]
  - via derivations on non-commutative (groupoid) algebras: J. Madore [Ma], M. Heller-Z. Odrzygozdz-L. Pysiak-W. Sasin [HOS, HS1, HS2, HS3, HS4, HS5, HS6, HPS1, HPS2, HPS3, HOPS1, HOPS2, HOPS3],
  - via deformation quantization (Moyal-Weyl): P. Aschieri and collaborators [As1, As2, ADMW, ADMSW, ABDMSW],
  - via quantum groups: S. Majid [Maj3, Maj4, Maj5, Maj6],
  - via A. Connes' non-commutative geometry: M. Paschke [P2], A. Connes-M. Marcolli [CM2].

Since we are here mainly interested in A. Connes' non-commutative geometry, we are going to conclude by examining a bit more in detail the situation as regards its possible applications to quantum gravity.

5.5.1 A. Connes' Non-commutative Geometry and Gravity

It is often claimed that non-commutative geometry will be a key ingredient (a kind of quantum version of Riemannian geometry) for the formulation of a fundamental theory of quantum gravity (see for example L. Smolin [Sm1] and P. Martinetti [Mart2]) and actually non-commutative geometry is often listed among the current alternative approaches to quantum gravity.

In reality, with the only notable exceptions of the extremely interesting programs outlined in M. Paschke [P2] and in A. Connes-M. Marcolli [CM2], a foundational approach to quantum physics based on A. Connes' non-commutative geometry has never been proposed. So far, most of the current applications of A. Connes' non-commutative geometry to (quantum) gravity have been limited to:

- the study of some "quantized" example: C. Rovelli [Ro5], F, Besnard [Be],
- the use of its mathematical framework for the reformulation of classical (Euclidean) general relativity: D. Kastler [K3], A. Chamseddine-G. Felder-J. Fröhlich [CFF], W. Kalau-M. Walze [KW], C. Rovelli-G. Landi [LR1, LR2, Lan2],

---

[60] See also the recent papers by B. Booss-Bavnbek-G. Esposito-M. Lesch [BEL] and F. Müller-Hoissen [M-H] for more detailed and alternative surveys on noncommutative geometry in gravity.

- attempts to use its mathematical framework "inside" some already established theories such as strings (A. Connes-M. Douglas-A. Schwarz [CDS], J. Fröhlich, O. Grandjean, A. Recknagel [FGR3], J. Brodzki, V. Mathai, J. Rosenberg, R. Szabo [BMRS]) and loop gravity (J. Aastrup-J. Grimstrup [AG1, AG2], F. Girelli-E. Livine [GL]),

- the formulation of Hamiltonian theories of gravity on globally hyperbolic cases, where only the "spacial-slides" are described by non-commutative geometries:
  E. Hawkins [Haw], T. Kopf-M. Paschke [KP1, KP2, Ko].

In a slightly different direction, there are some important areas of research that are somehow connected to the problems of quantum gravity and that seem to suggest a more prominent role of Tomita-Takesaki modular theory[61] in quantum physics (and in particular in the physics of gravity):

- Since the work of W. Unruh [U], it has been conjectured the existence of a deep connection between gravity (equivalence principle), thermal physics (hence Tomita-Takesaki and KMS-states) and quantum field theory; this idea has not been fully exploited so far.

- Starting from the works of J. Bisognano-E. Wichmann [BW1, BW2], G. Sewell [Sew] and more recently, H. J. Borchers [Bo1], there is mounting evidence that Tomita-Takesaki modular theory should play a fundamental role in the "spectral reconstruction" of the space-time information from the algebraic setting of states and observables. Some of the most interesting results in this direction have been obtained so far: in the theory of "half-sided modular inclusions" and modular intersections (see H.-J. Borchers [Bo2] and references therein, H. Araki-L. Zsido [AZ]); in the "geometric modular action" program (see D. Buchholz-S. Summers [BS1, BS2], D. Buchholz-M. Florig-S. Summers [BFS], D. Buchholz-O. Dreyer-M. Florig-S. Summers [BDFS], S. Summers-R. White [SuW]); in "modular nuclearity" (see for details R. Haag [H] and, for recent applications to the "form factor program", D. Buchholz-G. Lechner [BL, Le1, Le2, Le3, Le4, Le5]); in the "modular localization program" (see B. Schroer-H.-W. Wiesbrock [Sc1, Sc2, SW1, SW2], R. Brunetti-D. Guido-R. Longo [BGL], F. Lledó [Lle], J. Mund-B. Schroer-J. Yngvanson [MSY] and N. Pinamonti [Pi]).

- Starting with the construction of cyclic cocycles from supersymmetric quantum field theories by A. Jaffe-A. Lesniewski-K. Osterwalder [JLO1, JLO2], there has always been a constant interest in the possible deep structural relationship between supersymmetry, modular theory of type III von Neumann algebras and non-commutative geometry (see D. Kastler [K3] and A. Jaffe-O. Stoytchev [J, JS]). Some deep results by R. Longo [L3] established a bridge between the theory of superselections sectors and cyclic cocycles obtained by super-KMS states. The recent work by D. Buchholz-H. Grundling [BG] opens finally a way to construct super-KMS functionals (and probably spectral triples) in algebraic quantum field theory.

---

[61] The original ideas about modular theory were developed by M. Tomita [To1, To2]. We refer to the texts by M. Takesaki [T], B. Blackadar [Bl] for a modern mathematical introduction and to O. Bratteli-D. Robinson [BR], R. Haag [H] for a more physics oriented presentation. Excellent updated reviews on the relevance of modular theory in quantum physics are given by S. Summers [Su] and H.-J. Borchers [Bo2] (but see also R. Longo [L1]). Outside the realm of operator algebras, Tomita-Takesaki theorem for classical statistical mechanical systems has been discussed by G. Gallavotti-M. Pulvirenti [GP] and a strictly related correspondence between modular theory and Poisson geometry has been pointed out by A. Weinstein [W].

- In the context of C. Rovelli "thermal time hypothesis" [Ro1] in quantum gravity, A. Connes-C. Rovelli [CR] (see also P. Martinetti-C. Rovelli [MR] and P. Martinetti [Mart1]) have been using Tomita-Takesaki modular theory in order to induce a macroscopic time evolution for a relativistic quantum system.

- A. Connes-M. Marcolli [CM2] with the "cooling procedure" are proposing to examine the operator algebra of observables of a quantum gravitational system, via modular theory, at "different temperatures" in order to extract by "symmetry breaking" an emerging geometry.

↬ The idea that space-time might be spectrally reconstructed, via non-commutative geometry, from Tomita-Takesaki modular theory applied to the algebra of physical observables was elaborated in 1995 by one of the authors (P.B.) and independently (motivated by the possibility to obtain cyclic cocycles in algebraic quantum field theory from modular theory) by R. Longo [L2]. Since then this conjecture is still the main subject and motivation of our investigation [B, BCL].

Similar speculations on the interplay between modular theory and (some aspects of) space-time geometry have been suggested by S. Lord [Lo, Section VII.3] and by M. Paschke-R. Verch [PV1, Section 6].

↬ One of the authors (R.C.) has raised the somehow puzzling question whether it is possible to reinterpret the one parameter group of modular automorphisms as a renormalization (semi-)group in physics. The connection with P. Cartier's idea of a "universal Galois group" [Car], currently developed by A. Connes-M. Marcolli, is extremely intriguing.

5.5.2 A Proposal for (Modular) Algebraic Quantum Gravity.

Our ongoing research project [B, BCL] [62] is aiming at the construction of an **algebraic theory of quantum gravity** in which "non-commutative" space-time is spectrally reconstructed from Tomita-Takesaki modular theory.

What we propose is to develop a head-on approach to the foundations of quantum physics technically based on algebraic quantum theory (operator algebras) and A. Connes' non-commutative geometry. The research is building on the experience already gained in our previous/current mathematics research plans on "modular spectral triples in non-commutative geometry and physics" [BCL][63] and on "categorical non-commutative geometry" and is conducted in the standard of mathematical rigour typical of the tradition of mathematical physics' research in algebraic quantum field theory [A, H].

In the mathematical framework of A. Connes' non-commutative geometry, we are addressing the problem of the "spectral reconstruction" of "geometries" from the underlying operational data defined by "states" over "observables' C*-algebras" of physical systems. More specifically:

↬ Building on our previous research on "modular spectral-triples"[64] and on recent results on semi-finite spectral triples developed by A. Carey-J. Phillips-A. Rennie-F. Sukhocev [CPR], we make use of Tomita-Takesaki modular theory of operator algebras to associate non-commutative geometrical objects (that are only formally similar to A. Connes' spectral-triples) to suitable states over involutive normed algebras.

---

[62] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Algebraic Quantum Gravity, work in progress.

[63] Partially supported by the Thai Research Fund `TRF project RSA4580030`.

[64] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, Modular Spectral Triples, in preparation.

- We are developing[65] an "event" interpretation of the formalism of states and observables in algebraic quantum physics that is in line with C. Isham's "history projection operator theory" [I2, I3, IL1, IL2, ILSS] and C. Rovelli's "relational/relativistic quantum mechanics" [Ro3].
- Making contact with our current research project on "categorical non-commutative geometry" and with other projects in categorical quantum gravity (J. Baez [Ba3, Ba4] and L. Crane [Cr1, Cr2]), we plan to generalize the diffeomorphism covariance group of general relativity in a categorical context and use it to "identify" the degrees of freedom related to the spatio-temporal structure of the physical system.
- Techniques from "decoherence/einselection" (H. Zeh [Ze], W. Zurek [Zu]), "emergence/noiseless subsystems" (O. Dreyer [D1, D2, D3], F. Markopoulou [Mar1, Mar2, Mar3, KoM]), superselection (I. Ojima [O1, O2, OT]) and the "cooling" procedure developed by A. Connes-M. Marcolli [CM2] are expected to be relevant in order to extract from our spectrally defined non-commutative geometries, a macroscopic space-time for the pair state/system and its "classical residue".
- Possible reproduction of quantum geometries already defined in the context of loop quantum gravity (see T. Thiemann [Th1], J. Aastrup-J. Grimstrup [AG1, AG2]) or S. Doplicher-J. Roberts-K. Fredenhagen models [DFR1, DFR2, Do2, Do3, Do4] will be investigated.

If partially successful, the project will have a significant fallout: a background-independent powerful approach to "quantum relativity" that is suitable for the purpose of unification of physics, geometry and information theory that lies ahead.

References

[AG1] Aastrup J., Grimstrup J., Spectral Triples of Holonomy Loops, `arXiv:hep-th/0503246`.

[AG2] Aastrup J., Grimstrup J., Intersecting Connes Noncommutative Geometry with Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:hep-th/0601127`.

[Ab1] Abramsky S. (2005). What Are the Fundamental Structures of Concurency? We Still Don't Know!, in: "Algebraic Process Calculi: the First 25 Years and Beyond", BRICS Notes Series NS-05-03, June 2005, `bertinoro05.pdf`.

[Ab2] Abramsky S., Temperly-Lieb Algebra: from Knot Theory to Logic and Computation via Quantum Mechanics, `tambook.pdf`.

[AbC] Abramsky S., Coecke B., A Categorical Semantics of Quantum Protocols, `arXiv:quant-ph/0402130`.

[AJL] Ambjørn J., Jurkievicz J., Loll R., The Universe from Scratch, `arXiv:hep-th/0509010`.

[AC] Antonescu C., Christensen E., Spectral Triples for AF C*-algebras and Metrics on the Cantor Set, `arXiv:math.OA/0309044`.

[A] Araki H. (2000) *Mathematical Theory of Quantum Fields*, Oxford University Press.

[AZ] Araki, H., Zsido, L. (2005). Extension of the Structure Theorem of Borchers and its Application to Half-sided Modular Inclusions, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 17, n. 5, 491-543. `arXiv:math/0412061`.

[As1] Aschieri P., Noncommutative Symmetries and Gravity `arXiv:hep-th/0608172`.

[As2] Aschieri P., Noncommutative Gravity and the $*$-Lie algebra of Diffeomorphisms, `arXiv:hep-th/0703014`.

[ABDMSW] Aschieri P., Blohmann C., Dimitrijevic M., Meyer F., Schupp P., Wess J., A Gravity Theory on Noncommutative Spaces, `arXiv:hep-th/0504183`.

---

[65] P. Bertozzini, Algebraic Formalism for Rovelli Quantum Theory, in preparation.

[ADMW] Aschieri P., Dimitrijevic M., Meyer F., Wess J., Noncommutative Geometry and Gravity, `arXiv:hep-th/0510059`.

[ADMSW] Aschieri P., Dimitrijevic M., Meyer F., Schraml S., Wess J., Twisted Gauge Theories, `arXiv:hep-th/0603024`.

[AS] Ashtekar A., Schilling T., Geometrical Formulation of Quantum Mechanics, `arXiv:gr-qc/9706069`.

[At] Atiyah M. (1988). Topological Quantum Field Theories, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 68, 175-186.

[Ba1] Baez J. (1997). An Introduction to n-Categories, in: 7^{th} Conference on Category Theory and Computer Science, eds. Moggi E., Rosolini G., *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 1290, 1-33. `arXiv:q-alg/9705009`.

[Ba2] Baez J., Categories, Quantization, and Much More, `http://math.ucr.edu/home/baez/categories.html`.

[Ba3] Baez J. (2001). Higher-Dimensional Algebra and Planck-Scale Physics, in: *Physics Meets Philosophy at the Planck Length*, eds. Callender C., Huggett N., Cambridge University Press, 177-195. `arXiv:gr-qc/9902017`.

[Ba4] Baez J., Quantum Quandaries: A Category Theoretic Perspective, `arXiv:quant-ph/0404040`.

[BD1] Baez J., Dolan J. (1995). Higher-dimensional Algebra and Topological Quantum Field Theory, *J. Math. Phys.* 36, 6073-6105. `arXiv:q-alg/9503002`.

[BD2] Baez J., Dolan J. (1998). Categorification, in: Higher Category Theory, eds. Getzler E., Kapranov M., *Contemp. Math.* 230, 1-36. `arXiv:math/9802029`.

[Ban] Bannier U. (1994). Intrinsic Algebraic Characterization of Space-Time Structure, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 33, 1797-1809.

[BLV] Barceló C., Liberati S., Visser M. (2005). Analog Gravity, *Living Rev. Relativity* 8, 12. `http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2005-12`.

[BW] Barr M., Wells C. (1999). *Category Theory for Computing Science*, third edition, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Montreal.

[Bar] Barrett J., A Lorentzian Version of the Non-commutative Geometry of the Standard Model of Particle Physics, `arXiv:hep-th/0608221`.

[BHMS] Baum P., Hajac P., Matthes R., Szymanski W., Noncommutative Geometry Approach to Principal and Associated Bundles, `arXiv:math/0701033`.

[BCPRSW] Benameur M.-T., Carey A., Phillips J., Rennie A., Sukochev F., Wojciechowski K., An Analytic Approach to Spectral Flow in von Neumann Algebras, `arXiv:math.OA/0512454`.

[BF] Benameur M.-T., Fack T., On von Neumann Spectral Triples, `arXiv:math.KT/0012233`.

[BGV] Berline N., Getzler E., Vergne M. (1992). *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Springer.

[B] Bertozzini P. (2001). Spectral Space-Time and Hypercovariant Theories, unpublished.

[BCL] Bertozzini P., Conti R., Lewkeeratiyutkul W. (2005). *Modular Spectral Triples in Non-commutative Geometry and Physics*, Research Report, Thai Research Fund, Bangkok.

[BCL1] Bertozzini P., Conti R., Lewkeeratiyutkul W. (2006). A Category of Spectral Triples and Discrete Groups with Length Function, *Osaka J. Math.* 43 n. 2, 327-350.

[BCL2] Bertozzini P., Conti R., Lewkeeratiyutkul W. (2005). A Remark on Gel'fand Duality for Spectral Triples, preprint.

[BCL3] Bertozzini P., Conti R., Lewkeeratiyutkul W. (2007). Horizontal Categorification of Gel'fand Theorem, preprint.

[Be] Besnard F., Canonical Quantization and the Spectral Action, a Nice Example, `arXiv:gr-qc/0702049`.

[BW1] Bisognano J., Wichmann E. (1975). On the Duality Condition for Hermitian Scalar Fields, *J. Math. Phys.* 16, 985-1007.

[BW2] Bisognano J., Wichmann E. (1976). On the Duality Condition for Quantum Fields, *J. Math. Phys.* 17, 303-321.

[Bl] Blackadar B. (2006). *Operator Algebras*, Springer.

[Bo1] Borchers H.-J. (1992). The CPT-theorem in Two-dimensional Theories of Local Observables, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 143, 315-322.

[Bo2] Borchers H.-J. (2000). On Revolutionizing Quantum Field Theory, *J. Math. Phys.* 41, 3604-3673.

[BEL] Booss-Bavnbek B., Esposito G., Lesch M., Quantum Gravity: Unification of Principles and Interactions, and Promises of Spectral Geometry, `arXiv:0708.1705`.

[BBIM] Boutet de Monvel A., Buchholz D., Iagolnitzer D., Moschella U., (eds.), (2007). *Rigorous Quantum Field Theory, A Festschrift for Jacques Bros*, Progress in Mathematics 251. Birkhuser.

[B] Bratteli O. (1986). *Derivations, Dissipations and Group Actions on* C^**-algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1229, Springer.

[BR] Bratteli O., Robinson D. (1979-1981). *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I - II*, Springer.
`http://www.math.uio.no/~bratteli/bratrob/VOL-1S~1.PDF`,
`http://www.math.uio.no/~bratteli/bratrob/VOL-2S~1.PDF`.

[Br1] Breuer M. (1968). Fredholm Theories in von Neumann Algebras I, *Math. Ann.* 178, 243-254.

[Br2] Breuer M. (1969). Fredholm Theories in von Neumann Algebras II, *Math. Ann.* 180, 313-325.

[BH1] Brody D., Hughston L. (2001). Geometric Quantum Mechanics, *J. Geom. Phys.* 38, 19-53. `arXiv:quant-ph/9906086`.

[BH2] Brody D, Hughston L. (2005). Theory of Quantum Space-Time, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A461, 2679-2699. `arXiv:gr-qc/0406121`.

[BMRS] Brodzki J., Mathai V., Rosenberg J., Szabo R., D-Branes, RR-Fields and Duality on Noncommutative Manifolds, `arXiv:hep-th/0607020`.

[BGL] Brunetti R., Guido D., Longo R., Modular Localization and Wigner Particles, `arXiv:math-ph/0203021`.

[BrF] Brunetti R., Fredenhagen K., Algebraic Approach to Quantum Field Theory, `arXiv:math-ph/0411072`.

[BFV] Brunetti R., Fredenhagen K., Verch R. (2003). The Generally Covariant Locality Principle – A New Paradigm for Local Quantum Physics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 237, 31-68. `arXiv:math-ph/0112041`.

[BPR] Brunetti R., Porrmann M., Ruzzi G., General Covariance in Algebraic Quantum Field Theory, `arXiv:math-ph/0512059`.

[BrR] Brunetti R., Ruzzi G., Superselection Sectors and General Covariance I, `arXiv:gr-qc/0511118`.

[BCH] Bub J., Clifton R., Halvorson H., Characterizing Quantum Theory in Terms of Information-Theoretic Constraints, `arXiv:quant-ph/0211089`.

[BDFS] Buchholz D., Dreyer O., Florig M., Summers S. (2000). Geometric Modular Action and Spacetime Symmetry Groups, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 12, 475-560. `arXiv:math-ph/9805026`.

[BFS] Buchholz D., Florig M., Summers S. (1999). An Algebraic Characterization of Vacuum States in Minkowski Space II: Continuity Aspects, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 49, 337-350. `arXiv:math-ph/9909003`.

[BG] Buchholz D., Grundling H., Algebraic Supersymmetry: a Case Study, `arXiv:math-ph/0604044`.

[BL] Buchholz D., Lechner G., Modular Nuclearity and Localization, `arXiv:math-ph/0402072`.

[BS1] Buchholz D., Summers S. (1993). An Algebraic Characterization of Vacuum States in Minkowski Space, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 155, 449-458.

[BS2] Buchholz D., Summers S., An Algebraic Characterization of Vacuum States in Minkowski Space. III. Reflection Maps. `arXiv:math-ph/0309023`.

[BI1] Butterfield J., Isham C. (1998). A Topos Perspective on the Kochen-Specker Theorem: I Quantum States as Generalized Valuations, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 37, 2669-2733. `arXiv:quant-ph/9803055`.

[BI2] Butterfield J., Isham C. (1999). A Topos Perspective on the Kochen-Specker Theorem: II. Conceptual Aspects and Classical Analogues, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 38, 827-859. `arXiv:quant-ph/9808067`.

[BI3] Butterfield J., Isham C., On the Emergence of Time in Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/9901024`.

[BI4] Butterfield J., Isham C., Spacetime and the Philosophical Challenge of Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/9903072`.

[BI5] Butterfield J., Isham C. (2000). Some Possible Roles for Topos Theory in Quantum Theory and Quantum Gravity, *Found. Phys.* 30, 1707-1735. `arXiv:gr-qc/9910005`.

[BI6] Butterfield J., Isham C. (2002). A Topos Perspective on the Kochen-Specker Theorem: IV Interval Valuations, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 41, 613-639. `arXiv:quant-ph/0107123`.

[BHI] Butterfield J., Hamilton J., Isham C. (2000). A Topos Perspective on the Kochen-Specker Theorem: III. Von Neumann Algebras as the Base Category, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 39, 1413-1436. `arXiv:quant-ph/9911020`.

[CP] Carey A., Phillips J., Spectral Flow in Fredholm Modules, Eta Invariants and the JLO Cocycle, `arXiv:math/0308161`.

[CPR] Carey A., Phillips J., Rennie A., Semifinite spectral triples associated with graph C*-algebras, `arXiv:0707.3853`.

[CPRS1] Carey A., Phillips J., Rennie A., Sukochev F., The Hochschild Class of the chern Character for Semifinite Spectral Triples, `arXiv:math.OA/0312073`.

[CPRS2] Carey A., Phillips J., Rennie A., Sukochev F., The Local Index Formula in Semifinite von Neumann Algebras I: Spectral Flow, `arXiv:math.OA/0411019`.

[CPRS3] Carey A., Phillips J., Rennie A., Sukochev F., The Local Index Formula in Semifinite von Neumann Algebras II: the Even Case, `arXiv:math.OA/0411021`.

[CPRS4] Carey A., Phillips J., Rennie A., Sukochev F., The Chern Character of Semifinite Spectral Triples, `arXiv:math/0611227`.

[CPS1] Carey A., Phillips J., Sukochev F., On Unbounded p-summable Fredholm Modules, `arXiv:math.OA/990809`.

[CPS2] Carey A., Phillips J., Sukochev F., Spectral Flow and Dixmier Traces, `arXiv:math/0205076`.

[CRSS] Carey A., Rennie A., Sedaev A., Sukochev F., The Dixmier trace and asymptotics of zeta functions, `arXiv:math/0611629`.

[Car] Cartier P. (2001). A Mad Day's Work: from Grothendieck to Connes and Kontsevich, The Evolution of Concepts of Space and Symmetry, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 38 n. 4, 389-408.

[CFM] Cerchiai B., Fiore G., Madore J., Geometrical Tools for Quantum Euclidean Spaces, `arXiv:math/0002007`.

[ChP1] Chakraborty P., Pal A. (2003). Equivariant Spectral Triples on the Quantum SU(2) Group, *K-Theory* 28 n. 2, 107-126. `arXiv:math.KT/0201004`.

[ChP2] Chakraborty P., Pal A., Spectral Triples and Associated Connes-de Rham Complex for the Quantum SU(2) and the Quantum Sphere, `arXiv:math.QA/0210049`.

[ChP3] Chakraborty P., Pal A., Remark on Poincaré Duality for $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, `arXiv:math.OA/0211367`.

[ChP4] Chakraborty P., Pal A., Characterization of Spectral Triples: A Combinatorial Approach, `arXiv:math/0305157`.

[ChP5] Chakraborty P., Pal A., Equivariant Spectral Triples for $\mathrm{SU}_q(\ell+1)$ and the Odd Dimensional Quantum Spheres, `arXiv:math.QA/0503689`.

[ChP6] Chakraborty P., Pal A., On Equivariant Dirac Operators for $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, `arXiv:/math/0501019`.

[ChP7] Chakraborty P., Pal A., Characterization of $\mathrm{SU}_q(\ell+1)$-equivariant Spectral Triples for the Odd Dimensional Quantum Spheres, `arXiv:math/0701694`.

[ChP8] Chakraborty P., Pal A., Torus Equivariant Spectral Triples for Odd Dimensional Quantum Spheres Coming from C*-extensions, `arXiv:math/0701738`.

[ChP9] Chakraborty P., Pal A., On Equivariant Dirac Operators for $SU_q(2)$, `arXiv:0707.2145`.

[CC1] Chamseddine A., Connes A. (1997). The Spectral Action Principle, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 186, 731-750. `arXiv:hep-th/9606001`.

[CC2] Chamseddine A., Connes A., Why the Standard Model, `arXiv:0706.3688`.

[CC3] Chamseddine A., Connes A., A Dress for SM the Beggar, `arXiv:0706.3690`.

[CCMa] Chamseddine A., Connes A., Marcolli M., Gravity and the Standard Model with Neutrino Mixing, `arXiv:hep-th/0610241`.

[CFF] Chamseddine A., Felder G., Fröhlich J., Gravity in Non-Commutative Geometry, `arXiv:hep-th/9209044`.

[CIL] Christensen E., Ivan C., Lapidus M., Dirac Operators and Spectral Triples for some Fractal Sets Built on Curves, `arXiv:math/0610222`.

[CS] Cipriani F., Sauvageot J.-L. (2003). Non-commutative Potential Theory and the Sign of the Curvature Operator in Riemannian Geometry, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 13 n. 3, 521-545.

[CMP] Cirelli R., Manià A., Pizzocchero L. (1994). A Functional Representation for Noncommutative C*-algebras, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 6 n. 5, 675-697.

[Co] Coecke B., Kindergarten Quantum Mechanics, `arXiv:quant-ph/0510032`.

[C1] Connes A. (1994). *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, `http://www.alainconnes.org/downloads.html`.

[C2] Connes A. (1995). Noncommutative Geometry and Reality, *J. Math. Phys.* 36 n. 11, 6194-6231.

[C3] Connes A. (1996). Gravity Coupled with Matter and the Foundations of Noncommutative Geometry, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 182, 155-176. `arXiv:hep-th/9603053`.

[C4] Connes A. (2001). Noncommutative Geometry Year 2000, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* special volume 2000. `arXiv:math.QA/0011193`.

[C5] Connes A. (1990). Essay on Physics and Noncommutative Geometry, in: *The Interface of Mathematics and Particle Physics*, ed. Quillen D., Clarendon Press.

[C6] Connes A. (2004). Cyclic Cohomology, Quantum Symmetries and the Local Index Formula for $SU_q(2)$, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 3 n. 1, 17-68.

[C7] Connes A. (1989). Compact Metric Spaces, Fredholm Modules and Hyperfiniteness, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* 9, 207-220.

[C9] Connes A. (1997). Brisure de Symétrie Spontanée et Géométrie du Pont de Vue Spectral, *J. Geom. Phys.* 23, 206-234.

[C10] Connes A., A View of Mathematics, `http://www.alainconnes.org/downloads.html`.

[C12] Connes A., Noncommutative Geometry and the Standard Model with Neutrino Mixing, `arXiv:hep-th/0608226`.

[CCM] Connes A., Consani C., Marcolli M., Noncommutative Geometry and Motives: the Thermodynamics of Endomotives, `arXiv:math.QA/0512138`.

[CDV1] Connes A., Dubois-Violette M., Noncommutative Finite-dimensional Manifolds. I. Spherical Manifolds and Related Examples, `arXiv:math/0107070`.

[CDV2] Connes A., Dubois-Violette M., Moduli Space and Structure of Noncommutative 3-spheres, `arXiv:math/0308275`.

[CDV3] Connes A., Dubois-Violette M., Non Commutative Finite Dimensional Manifolds II. Moduli Space and Structure of Non Commutative 3-spheres, `arXiv:math/0511337`.

[CDS] Connes A., Douglas M., Schwarz A., Noncommutative Geometry and Matrix Theory: Compactification on Tori, `arXiv:hep-th/9711162`.

[CL] Connes A., Landi G. (2001). Noncommutative Manifolds the Instanton Algebra and Isospectral Deformations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 221, 141-159. `arXiv:math.QA/0011194`.

[CLo] Connes A., Lott J. (2001). Particle Models and Noncommutative Geometry, in: "Recent Advances in Field Theory", *Nuclear Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* 18B, 29-47.

[CM1] Connes A., Marcolli M., A Walk in the Noncommutative Garden, `arXiv:math.QA/0601054`.

[CM2] Connes A., Marcolli M., Noncommutative Geometry, Quantum Fields and Motives, `http://www.alainconnes.org/downloads.html`, (preliminary version) July 2007.

[CMo1] Connes A., Moscovici H. (1995). The Local Index Formula in Noncommutative Geometry, *GAFA* 5(2), 174-243.

[CMo2] Connes A., Moscovici H. (1998). Hopf Algebras, Cyclic Cohomology and the Transverse Index Theorem, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 198, 199-246.

[CMo3] Connes A., Moscovici H., Type III and Spectral Triples, `arXiv:math/0609703`.

[CoM1] Consani K., Marcolli M., Non-commutative Geometry, Dynamics, and Infinity-adic Arakelov Geometry, `arXiv:math/0205306`.

[CoM2] Consani K., Marcolli M., Triplets Spectraux en Geometrie d'Arakelov, `arXiv:math/0209182`.

[CoM3] Consani K., Marcolli M., New Perspectives in Arakelov Geometry, `arXiv:math/0210357`.

[CoM4] Consani K., Marcolli M., Spectral Triples from Mumford Curves, `arXiv:math/0210435`.

[CR] Connes A., Rovelli C. (1994). Von Neumann Algebra Automorphisms and Time-Thermodynamic Relation in General Covariant Quantum Theories, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 11, 2899-2918. `arXiv:gr-qc/9406019`.

[CMa] Cornelissen G., Marcolli M., Zeta Functions that Hear the Shape of a Riemann Surface, `arXiv:0708.0500`.

[CMRV] Cornelissen G., Marcolli M., Reihani K., Vdovina A., Noncommutative Geometry on Trees and Buildings `arXiv:math/0604114`.

[Cr1] Crane L., Categorical Geometry and the Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0602120`.

[Cr2] Crane L., What is the Mathematical Structure of Quantum Spacetime, `arXiv:0706.4452`.

[CY] Crane L., Yetter D. (1998). Examples of Categorification, *Cahiers de Topologie et Ge'ometrie Diffe'rentielle Categoriques* 39 n. 1, 3-25.

[Cu] Cuntz J. (2001). Quantum Spaces and Their Noncommutative Topology, *Notices AMS* 48 n. 8, 793-799, `http://www.ams.org/notices/200108/fea-cuntz.pdf`.

[Da] Dabrowski L., Geometry of Quantum Spheres, `arXiv:math.QA/0501240`.

[DL] Dabrowski L., Landi G. (2002). Instanton Algebras and Quantum 4-Spheres, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* 16, 277-284. `arXiv:math.QA/0101177`.

[DLM] Dabrowski L., Landi G., Masuda T. (2001). Instantons on the Quantum 4-Spheres S^4_q, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 221, 161-168. `arXiv:math.QA/0012103`.

[DLPS] Dabrowski L., Landi G., Paschke M., Sitarz A., The Spectral Geometry of the Equatorial Podles Sphere, `arXiv:math.QA/0408034`.

[DLSSV1] Dabrowski L., Landi G., Sitarz A., van Suijlekom W., Varilly J. (2005). The Dirac Operator on $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 259, 729-759. `arXiv:math.QA/0411609`.

[DLSSV2] Dabrowski L., Landi G., Sitarz A., van Suijlekom W., Varilly J., Local Index formula for $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, `arXiv:math/0501287`.

[Dae] Daenzer C., A Groupoid Approach to Noncommutative T-duality, `arXiv:0704.2592`.

[D] D'Andrea F., Quantum Groups and Twisted Spectral Triples, `arXiv:math/0702408`.

[DD] D'Andrea F., Dabrowski L., Local Index Formula on the Equatorial Podles Sphere, `arXiv:math/0507337`.

[DDL] D'Andrea F., Dabrowski L., Landi G., The Isospectral Dirac Operator on the 4-dimensional Quantum Euclidean Sphere, `arXiv:math/0611100`.

[DH] Dauns J., Hofmann K. (1968). Representations of Rings by Continuous Sections, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83, AMS.

[DJO1] Dawling J., Jay Olson S., Information and Measurement in Generally Covariant Quantum Theory, `arXiv:quant-ph/0701200`, version 2, 14 May 2007.

[DJO2] Dawling J., Jay Olson S., Probability, Unitarity, and Realism from Generally Covariant Quantum Information, `arXiv:0708.3535`.

[Di] Diep D. N., Category of Noncommutative CW Complexes, `arXiv:0707.0191`.

[Dim1] Dimock J. (1980). Algebras of Local Observables on a Manifold, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 77, 219.

[Dim2] Dimock J. (1982). Dirac Quantum Fields on a Manifold, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 269, 133.

[DTT] Dolgushev V., Tamarkin D., Tsygan B., The Homotopy Gerstenhaber Algebra of Hochschild Cochains of a Regular Algebra is Formal `arXiv:math/0605141`.

[Do1] Doplicher S., private conversation, Rome, April 1995.

[Do2] Doplicher S. (1995). Quantum Physics, Classical Gravity and Noncommutative Space-Time, in: *XIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (Paris, 1994)*, 324-329, Internat. Press, Cambridge MA.

[Do3] Doplicher S. (1996). Quantum Space-Time, New Problems in the General Theory of Fields and Particles, Part II, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* 64 n. 4, 543-553.

[Do4] Doplicher S., Spacetime and Fields, a Quantum Texture, `arXiv:hep-th/0105251`.

[DFR1] Doplicher S., Fredenhagen K., Roberts J. (1994). Space-Time Quantization Induced by Classical Gravity, *Phys. Lett.* B 331 n. 1-2, 39-44.

[DFR2] Doplicher S., Fredenhagen K., Roberts J. (1995). The Structure of Spacetime at the Planck Scale and Quantum Fields, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 172, 187. `arXiv:hep-th/0303037`.

[DR1] Doplicher S., Roberts J. (1989). A New Duality Theory for Compact Groups, *Inventiones Mathematicae* 98 (1), 157-218.

[DR2] Doplicher S., Roberts J. (1990). Why there Is a Field Algebra with Compact Gauge Group Describing the Superselection Structure in Particle Physics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 131, 51-107.

[DI1] Döring A., Isham C., A Topos Foundations for Theories of Physics: I. Formal Languages for Physics, `arXiv:quant-ph/0703060`.

[DI2] Döring A., Isham C., A Topos Foundations for Theories of Physics: II. Daseinisation and the Liberation of Quantum Theory, `arXiv:quant-ph/0703062`.

[DI3] Döring A., Isham C., A Topos Foundations for Theories of Physics: III. The Representation of Physical Quantities With Arrows, `arXiv:quant-ph/0703064`.

[DI4] Döring A., Isham C., A Topos Foundations for Theories of Physics: IV. Categories of Systems, `arXiv:quant-ph/0703066`.

[D1] Dreyer O., Emergent Probabilities in Quantum Mechanics, `arXiv:quant-ph/0603202`.

[D2] Dreyer O., Emergent General Relativity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0604075`.

[D3] Dreyer O., Classicality in Quantum Mechanics, `arXiv:quant-ph/0611076`.

[Dy] Dyson F. (1990). Feynman's Proof of the Maxwell Equations, *Am. J. Phys.* 58, 209.

[FD] Fell J., Doran R. (1998). *Representations of* C**-algebras, Locally Compact Groups and Banach* $*$*-algebraic Bundles*, Vol. 1, 2, Academic Press.

[FGV] Figueroa H., Gracia-Bondia J., Varilly J. (2000). *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*, Birkhäuser.

[Fi1] Finkelstein D. (1996). *Quantum Relativity*, Springer.

[Fi2] Finkelstein D., General Quantization, `arXiv:quant-ph/0601002`.

[Fio] Fiore G., Can QFT on Moyal-Weyl Spaces Look as on Commutative Ones? `arXiv:0705.1120`.

[FW] Fiore G., Wess J., On "Full" Twisted Poincaré Symmetry and QFT on Moyal-Weyl Spaces, `arXiv:hep-th/0701078`.

[Fr] Frank M. (1999). Geometrical Aspects of Hilbert C*-modules, *Positivity* 3 n. 3, 215-243.

[FH] Fredenhagen K., Haag R. (1987). Generally Covariant Quantum Field Theory and Scaling Limits, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 108, 91.

[FRS] Fredenhagen K., Rehren K.-H., Seiler H., Quantum Field Theory: Where We Are, `arXiv:hep-th/0603155`.

[FGR1] Fröhlich J., Grandjean O., Recknagel A. (1998). Supersymmetric Quantum Theory and Differential Geometry, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 193, 527-594. `arXiv:hep-th/9612205`.

[FGR2] Fröhlich J., Grandjean O., Recknagel A. (1997). Supersymmetry and Non-commutative Geometry, in: *Quantum Fields and Quantum Space Time*, eds: t'Hooft G., Jaffe A., Mack G., Mitter P., Stora R., NATO ASI Series, B, 364, Plenum Press.

[FGR3] Fröhlich J., Grandjean O., Recknagel A. (1998). Supersymmetric Quantum Theory, Noncommutative Geometry and Gravitation, in: *Quantum Symmetries*, eds: Connes A., Gawedski K., Zinn-Justin J., 1995 Les Houches Summer School of Theoretical Physics, North Holland. `arXiv:hep-th/9706132`.

[FGR4] Fröhlich J., Grandjean O., Recknagel A. (1999). Supersymmetric Quantum Theory and Noncommutative Geometry, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 203, 119-184. `arXiv:math-ph/9807006`.

[GP] Gallavotti G., Pulvirenti M. (1976). Classical KMS Condition and Tomita-Takesaki Theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 46, 1-9.

[GGISV] Gayral V., Gracia-Bondia J., Iochum B., Schüker T., Varilly J. (2004). Moyal Planes are Spectral Triples, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 246 n. 3, 569-623. `arXiv:hep-th/0307241`.

[Ge] Geroch R. (1972). Einstein Algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 26, 271-275.

[GT1] Giesel K., Thiemann T., Algebraic Quantum Gravity (AQG) I. Conceptual Setup, `arXiv:gr-qc/0607099`.

[GT2] Giesel K., Thiemann T., Algebraic Quantum Gravity (AQG) II. Semiclassical Analysis, `arXiv:gr-qc/0607100`.

[GT3] Giesel K., Thiemann T., Algebraic Quantum Gravity (AQG) III. Semiclassical Perturbation Theory, `arXiv:gr-qc/0607101`.

[GT4] Giesel K., Thiemann T., Algebraic Quantum Gravity (AQG) IV. Reduced Phase Space Quantisation of Loop Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:0711.0119`.

[Gi] Ginzburg V., Lectures on Noncommutative Geometry, `arXiv:math/0506603`.

[GL] Girelli F., Livine E. (2005). Reconstructing Quantum Geometry from Quantum Information: Spin Networks as Harmonic Oscillators, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 22, 3295-3314. `arXiv:gr-qc/0501075`.

[GLR] Ghez P., Lima R., Roberts J. (1985). W*-categories, *Pacific J. Math.*, 120 n. 1, 79-109.

[Go1] Goswami D., Some Noncommutative Geometric Aspects of $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, `arXiv:math-ph/0108003`.

[Go2] Goswami D., Twisted Entire Cyclic Cohomology J-L-O Cocycles and Equivariant Spectral Triples, `arXiv:math-ph/0204010`.

[Go3] Goswami D., Quantum Group of Isometries in Classical and Noncommutative Geometry, `arXiv:0704.0041`.

[GLMV] Gracia-Bondia J., Lizzi F., Marmo G., Vitale P. (2002). Infinitely Many Star Products to Play with, *J. High Energy Phys.* 4 n. 26. `arXiv:hep-th/0112092`.

[GV] Gracia-Bondia J., Varilly J. (1993). Connes' Noncommutative Geometry and the Standard Model, *J. Geom. Physics* 12, 223.

[GSW] Green M., Schwarz J., Witten E. (1988). *Superstring Theory*, Cambridge University Press.

[Gri1] Grinbaum A. (2003). Elements of Information-Theoretic Derivation of the Formalism of Quantum Theory, *International Journal of Quantum Information* 1(3), 289-300. `arXiv:quant-ph/0306079`.

[Gri2] Grinbaum A. (2004). The Significance of Information in Quantum Theory, Ph.D. Thesis, Ecole Polytechnique, Paris. `arXiv:quant-ph/0410071`.

[Gri3] Grinbaum A., On the notion of Reconstruction in Quantum Theory, `arXiv:quant-ph/0509104`.

[Gri4] Grinbaum A. (2005). Information-theoretic Principle Entails Orthomodularity of a Lattice, *Found. Phys. Lett.* 18(6), 563-572. `arXiv:quant-ph/0509106`.

[GLe] Grosse H., Lechner G., Wedge-Local Quantum Fields and Noncommutative Minkowski Space, `arXiv:0706.3992`.

[GI1] Guido D., Isola T., Fractals in Noncommutative Geometry, `arXiv:math/0102209`.

[GI2] Guido D., Isola T., Dimensions and Singular Traces for Spectral Triples, with Applications to Fractals, `arXiv:math/0202108`.

[GI3] Guido D., Isola T., Dimensions and Spectral Triples for Fractals in R^N, `arXiv:math/0404295`.

[H] Haag R. (1996). *Local Quantum Physics*, Springer.

[Ha1] Hadley M., A Gravitational Explanation for Quantum Mechanics, `arXiv:quant-ph/9609021`.

[Ha2] Hadley M. (1997). The Logic of Quantum Mechanics Derived from Classical General Relativity, *Found. Phys. Lett.* 10, 43-60. `arXiv:quant-ph/9706018`.

[Ha3] Hadley M., Geometric Models of Particles - the Missing Ingredient, `arXiv:physics/0601032`.

[HM] Halvorson H., Müger M., Algebraic Quantum Field Theory, `arXiv:math-ph/0602036`.

[Har1] Hardy L., Quantum Theory from Five Reasonable Axioms, `arXiv:quant-ph/0101012`.

[Har2] Hardy L., Why Quantum Theory?, `arXiv:quant-ph/0111068`.

[Har3] Hardy L., Probability Theories with Dynamic Casual Structure: a New Framework for Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0509120`.

[Har4] Hardy L., Towards Quantum Gravity: a Framework for Probabilistic Theories with Non-Fixed Causal Structure, `arXiv:gr-qc/0608043`.

[Har5] Hardy L., Quantum Gravity Computers: on the Theory of Computation with Indefinite Causal Structure, `arXiv:quant-ph/0701019`.

[Hart] Hartle J., Generalizing Quantum Mechanics for Quantum Spacetime, `arXiv:gr-qc/0602013`.

[Haw] Hawkins E. (1997). Hamiltonian Gravity and Noncommutative Geometry, Commun. Math. Phys. 187, 471-489. `arXiv:gr-qc/9605068`.

[HMS] Hawkins E., Markopoulou F., Sahlmann H., Evolution in Quantum Casual Histories, `arXiv:hep-th/0302111`.

[HOS] Heller M., Odrzygozdz Z., Sasin W., Noncommutative Regime of Fundamental Physics `arXiv:gr-qc/0104003`.

[HOPS1] Heller H., Odrzygozdz Z., Pysiak L., Sasin W., Structure of Malicious Singularities `arXiv:gr-qc/0210100`.

[HOPS2] Heller H., Odrzygozdz Z., Pysiak L., Sasin W., Noncommutative Unification of General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics. A Finite Model, `arXiv:gr-qc/0311053`.

[HOPS3] Heller H., Odrzygozdz Z., Pysiak L., Sasin W., Observables in a Noncommutative Unification of Quanta and Gravity. A Final Model `arXiv:gr-qc/0410010`.

[HPS1] Heller H., Pysiak L., Sasin W., Noncommutative Dynamics of Random Operators, `arXiv:gr-qc/0409063`.

[HPS2] Heller H., Pysiak L., Sasin W., Noncommutative Unification of General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics `arXiv:gr-qc/0504014`.

[HPS3] Heller H., Pysiak L., Sasin W., Conceptual Unification of Gravity and Quanta, `arXiv:gr-qc/0607002`.

[HS1] M. Heller H., Sasin W., Emergence of Time, `arXiv:gr-qc/9711051`.

[HS2] Heller H., Sasin W., Towards Noncommutative Quantization of Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/9712009`.

[HS3] Heller H., Sasin W., Einstein-Podolski-Rosen Experiment from Noncommutative Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/9806011`.

[HS4] Heller H., Sasin W., Origin of Classical Singularities, `arXiv:gr-qc/9812047`.

[HS5] Heller H., Sasin W., Nonlocal Phenomena from Noncommutative Pre-Planckian Regime, `arXiv:gr-qc/9906072`.

[HS6] Heller H., Sasin W., Noncommutative Unification of General Relativity with Quantum Mechanics and Canonical Gravity Quantization, `arXiv:gr-qc/0001072`.

[HS] Heunen C., Spitters B. A Topos for Algebraic Quantum Theory, `arXiv:0709.4364`.

[Ho] Hoffmann R. (2004). Product Systems from a Bicategorical Point of View and Duality Theory for Hopf-C*-Algebras, Ph.D. Thesis, Eberhard-Karls Universität, Tübingen, Germany.

[I1] Isham C., Prima Facie Questions in Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/9310031`.

[I2] Isham C., Quantum Logic and the Histories Approach to Quantum Theory, *J. Math. Phys.* 35, 2157-2185. `arXiv:gr-qc/9308006`.

[I3] Isham C. (1995). Quantum Logic and Decohering Histories, in: *Topics in Quantum Field Theory: Modern Methods in Fundamental Physics*, ed. Tchrakian D., World Scientific. `arXiv:quant-ph/9506028`.

[I4] Isham C., Structural Issues in Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/9510063`.

[I5] Isham C. (1997). Topos Theory and Consistent Histories: The Internal Logic of the Set of All Consistent Sets, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 36, 785-814. `arXiv:gr-qc/9607069`.

[I6] Isham C., Some Reflections on the Status of Conventional Quantum Theory when Applied to Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:quant-ph/0206090`.

[I7] Isham C., A New Approach to Quantising Space-Time: I. Quantising on a General Category, `arXiv:gr-qc/0303060`.

[I8] Isham C., A New Approach to Quantising Space-Time: II. Quantising on a Category of Sets, `arXiv:gr-qc/0304077`.

[I9] Isham C., A New Approach to Quantising Space-Time: III. State Vectors as Functions on Arrows, `arXiv:gr-qc/0306064`.

[IL1] Isham C., Linden N. (1994). Quantum Temporal Logic and Decoherence Functionals in the Histories Approach to Generalized Quantum Theory, *J. Math. Phys.* 35, 5452-5476. `arXiv:gr-qc/9405029`.

[IL2] Isham C., Linden N. (1995). Continuous Histories and the History Group in Generalized Quantum Theory, *J. Math Phys.* 36, 5392-5408. `arXiv:gr-qc/9503063`.

[ILSS] Isham C., Linden N., Savvidou K., Schreckenberg S. (1998). Continuous Time and Consistent Histories, *J. Math. Phys.* 39, 1818-1834. `arXiv:quant-ph/9711031`.

[II] Ivankov P., Ivankov N., The Noncommutative Geometry Generalization of Fundamental Group, `arXiv:math/0604508`.

[Ja] Jadczyk A. (1990). Algebras Symmetries, Spaces, in: *Quantum Groups*, eds: Doebner H., Hennig J., Springer.

[J] Jaffe A. (1992). Non-Commutative Geometry and Mathematical Physics, in: *New Symmetry Principles in Quantum Field Theory*, eds.: Frohlich J., et. al., Plenum Press.

[JLO1] Jaffe A., Lesniewski A., Osterwalder K. (1988). Quantum K-theory I: The Chern Character, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 118, 1-14.

[JLO2] Jaffe A., Lesniewski A., Osterwalder K. (1989). On Super-KMS Functionals and Entire Cyclic Cohomology, *K-theory* 2, 675-682.

[JS] Jaffe A., Stoytchev O. (1991). The Modular Group and Super-KMS Functionals, in: *Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics*, Lecture Notes in Phys. 375, 382-384, Springer.

[KNR] Kaad J., Nest R., Rennie A., KK-theory and Spectral Flow in von Neumann Algebras, `arXiv:math/0701326`.

[KW] Kalau W., Walze M. (1995). Gravity, Non-Commutative Geometry and the Wodzicki Residue, *J. Geom. Phys.* 16, 327-344, `arXiv:gr-qc/9312031`.

[KR] Kadison R., Ringrose J. (1998). *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, vol. 1-2, AMS.

[K1] Kastler D. (ed.), (1990). *The Algebraic Theory of Superselection Sectors. Introduction and Recent Results*, World Scientific.

[K2] Kastler D. (1989). Cyclic Cocycles from Graded KMS functionals, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 121(2), 345-350.

[K3] Kastler D. (1995). The Dirac Operator and Gravitation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 166, 633-643.

[K4] Kastler D. (1993). A Detailed Account of Alain Connes's Version of he Standard Model in Noncommutative Geometry I,II, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 5 n. 3, 477-532.

[K5] Kastler D. (1996). A Detailed Account of Alain Connes's Version of he Standard Model in Noncommutative Geometry I,II, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 8 n. 1, 103-165.

[Kha] Khalkhali M., Lectures in Noncommutative Geometry, `arXiv:math/0702140`.

[Kh1] Khovanov M. (2000). A Categorification of the Jones Polynomial, *Duke Math. J.*, 101 n. 3, 359-426. `arXiv:math/9908171`.

[Kh2] Khovanov M. (2002). A Functor-valued Invariant of Tangles, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 2, 665-741.

[KoM] Konopka T., Markopoulou F., Constrained Mechanics and Noiseless Subsystems, `arXiv:gr-qc/0601028`.

[KMS] Konopka T., Markopoulou F., Smolin L., Quantum Graphity, `arXiv:hep-th/0611197`.

[KR1] Kontsevich M., Rosenberg A., Noncommutative Smooth Spaces, `arXiv:math.AG/9812158`.

[KR2] Kontsevich M., Rosenberg A. (2004). Noncommutative Spaces, preprint MPIM2004-35, Max Planck Institut für Mathematik.

[KS1] Kontsevich M., Soibelman Y., Notes on A-infinity Algebras, A-infinity Categories and Noncommutative Geometry. I, `arXiv:math/0606241`.

[KS2] Kontsevich M., Soibelman Y., Deformation Theory I, `http://www.math.ksu.edu/~soibel/Book-vol1.ps`, preliminary draft.

[Ko] Kopf T., Spectral geometry of Spacetime, `arXiv:hep-th/0005260`.

[KP1] Kopf T., Paschke M., A Spectral Quadruple for de Sitter Space, `arXiv:math-ph/0012012`.

[KP2] Kopf T., Paschke M., Spectral Quadruples, `arXiv:math-ph/0105006`.

[KP3] Kopf, T., Paschke M., Generally Covariant Quantum Mechanics on Noncommutative Configuration Spaces, `arXiv:0708.0388`.

[Kr] Krajewski T. (1998). Classification of Finite Spectral Triples, *J. Geom. Phys.* 28, 1-30. `arXiv:hep-th/9701081`.

[KM] Kribs D., Markopoulou F., Geometry from Quantum Particles, `arXiv:gr-qc/0510052`.

[KPRR] Kruml D., Pelletier J., Resende P., Rosicky J., On Quantales and Spectra of C∗-algebras, `arXiv:math/0211345`.

[KrR] Kruml D., Resende P., On Quantales that Classify C*-algebras, `arXiv:math/0404001`.

[Ku] Kumjian A., Fell Bundles over Groupoids, `arXiv:math.OA/9607230`.

[KMT] Kustermans J., Murphy G., Tuset L., Differential Calculi over Quantum Groups and Twisted Cyclic Cocycles, `arXiv:math/0110199`.

[Lan1] Landi G. (1997). An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and Their Geometry, Springer. `arXiv:hep-th/9701078`.

[Lan2] Landi G. (2002). Eigenvalues as Dynamical Variables, *Lect. Notes Phys.*, 596, 299-312. `arXiv:gr-qc/9906044`.

[Lan3] Landi G., Noncommutative Spheres and Instantons, `arXiv:math.QA/0307032`.

[Lan4] Landi G., Examples of Noncommutative Instantons, `arXiv:math.QA/0603426`.

[LR1] Landi G., Rovelli C. (1997). General Relativity in terms of Dirac Eigenvalues, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78, 3051-3054. `arXiv:gr-qc/9612034`.

[LR2] Landi G., Rovelli C. (1998). Gravity from Dirac Eigenvalues, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A13, 479-494. `arXiv:gr-qc/9708041`.

[LS1] Landi G., van Suijlekom W., Noncommutative Instantons from Twisted Conformal Symmetries, `arXiv:math.QA/0601554`.

[LS2] Landi G., van Suijlekom W., Noncommutative Bundles and Instantons in Tehran, `arXiv:hep-th/0603053`.

[La1] Landsman N., Bicategories of Operator Algebras and Poisson Manifolds, `arXiv:math-ph/0008003`.

[La2] Landsman N., Functoriality and Morita Equivalence of Operator Algebras and Poisson Manifolds Associated to Groupoids, `arXiv:math-ph/0008036`.

[La3] Landsman N., Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids in Physics and Noncommutative Geometry, `arXiv:math-ph/0506024`.

[Le1] Lechner G., Polarization-Free Quantum Fields and Interaction `arXiv:hep-th/0303062`.

[Le2] Lechner G., On the Existence of Local Observables in Theories With a Factorizing S-Matrix, `arXiv:math-ph/0405062`.

[Le3] Lechner G., Towards the construction of quantum field theories from a factorizing S-matrix `arXiv:hep-th/0502184`.

[Le4] Lechner G., Construction of Quantum Field Theories with Factorizing S-Matrices, `arXiv:math-ph/0601022`.

[Le5] Lechner G., On the Construction of Quantum Field Theories with Factorizing S-Matrices, `arXiv:math-ph/0611050`.

[Le] Leinster T. (2004). *Higher Operads, Higher Categories*, Cambridge.

[Lle] Lledó F. (2004). Massless Relativistic Wave Equations and Quantum Field Theory, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 5, 607-670. `arXiv:math-ph/0303031`.

[Ll1] Lloyd S., A Theory of Quantum Gravity Bases on Quantum Computation, `arXiv:quant-ph/0501135`.

[Ll2] Lloyd S. (2006). *Programming the Universe: a Quantum Computer Scientist Takes on the Cosmos*, Knopf.

[L1] Longo, R. (1982). Algebraic and Modular Structure of von Neumann Algebras of Physics, in: Operator Algebras and Applications, Part 2 (Kingston, Ont., 1980), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 38, 551-566, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.

[L2] Longo, R., private conversation, Rome, April 1995.

[L3] Longo R., Notes for a Quantum Index Theorem, `arXiv:math/0003082`.

[LR] Longo R., Roberts J., A theory of Dimension, `arXiv:funct-an/9604008`.

[Lo] Lord S., Riemannian Geometries, `arXiv:math-ph/0010037`.

[Mas] Maszczyk T., Noncommutative Geometry Through Monoidal Categories I, `arXiv:0707.1542`.

[Ma] Madore J. (2000). *An Introduction to Non-commutative Geometry and its Physical Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition.

[Mc] MacLane S. (1998). *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer.

[Mah1] Mahanta S., On Some Approaches Towards Non-commutative Algebraic Geometry, `arXiv:math/0501166`.

[Mah2] Mahanta S., Lecture Notes on Non-commutative Algebraic Geometry and Noncommutative Tori, `arXiv:math/0610043`.

[Maj1] Majid S. (1995). *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press.

[Maj2] Majid S. (2002). *A Quantum Groups Primer*, L. M. S. Lect. Notes 292, Cambridge University Press.

[Maj3] Majid S. (1988). Hopf Algebras for Physics at the Planck Scale, *J. Classical and Quantum Gravity* 5, 1587-1606.

[Maj4] Majid S., Algebraic Approach to Quantum Gravity I: Relative Realism, `http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/archive/00003345/`.

[Maj5] Majid S., Algebraic Approach to Qauntum Gravity II: Noncommutative Spacetime, `arXiv:hep-th/0604130`.

[Maj6] Majid S., Algebraic Approach to Qauntum Gravity III: Noncommutative Riemannian Geometry, `arXiv:hep-th/0604132`.

[Mal1] Mallios A. (1998). *Geometry of Vector Sheaves*, vol. I-II, Kluwer.

[Mal2] Mallios A., Remark on “Singularities”, `arXiv:gr-qc/0202028`.

[Mal3] Mallios A., On Localizing Topological Algebras, `arXiv:gr-qc/0211032`.

[MR1] Mallios A., Raptis I. (2001). Finitary Spacetime Sheaves of Quantum Causal Sets: Curving Quantum Causality, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 40, 1885-1928. `arXiv:gr-qc/0102097`.

[MR2] Mallios A., Raptis I. (2002). Finitary Cech-de Rham Cohomology: much Ado without Smoothness, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 41, 1857-1902. `arXiv:gr-qc/0110033`.

[MR3] Mallios A.,Raptis I., Smooth Singularities Exposed: Chimeras of the Differential Spacetime, `arXiv:gr-qc/0411121`.

[MR4] Mallios A., Raptis I. (2003). Finitary, Causal and Quantal Einstein Gravity, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 42, 1479-1619. `arXiv:gr-qc/0209048`.

[MRo] Mallios A., Rosinger E., Space-time Foam Dense Singularities and de Rham Cohomology, `arXiv:math/0406540`.

[M] Manin Y. (2004). Real Multiplication and Noncommutative Geometry, in: *The Legacy of Niels Henrik Abel*, Springer, 685-727. `arXiv:math.AG/0202109`.

[Mar1] Markopoulou F., Towards Gravity form the Quantum, `arXiv:hep-th/0604120`.

[Mar2] Markopoulou F., Conserved Quantities in Background Independent Theories, `arXiv:gr-qc/0703027`.

[Mar3] Markopoulou F., New directions in Background Independent Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0703097`.

[MR] Martinetti P., Rovelli C. (2003). Diamond’s Temperature: Unruh Effect for Bounded Trajectories and Thermal Time Hypothesis, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 20, 4919-4932. `arXiv:gr-qc/0212074`.

[Mart1] Martinetti P., A Brief Remark on Unruh Effect and Causality, `arXiv:gr-qc/0401116`.

[Mart2] Martinetti P. (2005). What Kind of Noncommutative Geometry for Quantum Gravity?, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A20, 1315. `arXiv:gr-qc/0501022`.

[MRR] Matthes R., Richter O., Rudolph G., Spectral Triples and Differential Calculi Related to the Kronecker Foliation, `arXiv:math-ph/0201066`.

[MLP] Meschini D., Lehto M., Piilonen J. (2005). Geometry, Pregeometry and Beyond, *Stud. Hist. Philos. Mod. Phys.* 36, 435-464. `arXiv:gr-qc/0411053`.

[Me] Meyer R., A Spectral Interpretation for the Zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function, `arXiv:math/0412277`.

[Mi] Mielnik B. (1974). Generalized Quantum Mechanics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 37 n. 3, 221-256.

[Mit] Mitchener P. (2002). C*-categories, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 84, 375-404.

[MPR] Mondragon M., Perez A., Rovelli C., Multiple-event Probability in General-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics: a Discrete Model, `arXiv:0705.0006`, 30 April 2007.

[Mo] Moretti V. (2003). Aspects of Noncommutative Lorentzian Geometry for Globally Hyperbolic Sapcetimes, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 15, 1171-1217. `arXiv:gr-qc/0203095`.

[M-H] Müller-Hoissen F., Noncommutative Geometries and Gravity, `arXiv:0710.4418`.

[MSY] Mund J., Schroer B., Yngvason J., String-localized Quantum Fields and Modular Localization, `arXiv:math-ph/0511042`.

[NT] Neshveyew S., Tuset L., The Dirac Operator on Compact Quantum Groups, `arXiv:math/0703161`.

[O1] Ojima I., Micro-Macro Duality in Quantum Physics, `arXiv:math-ph/0502038`.

[O2] Ojima I., Micro-Macro Duality and Emergence of Macroscopic Levels, `arXiv:0705.2945`.

[OT] Ojima I., Takeori M., How to Observe Quantum Fields and Recover Them from Observational Data? Takesaki Duality as a Micro-Macro Duality, `arXiv:math-ph/0502038`.

[Or] Oriti D., The Group Field Theory Approach to Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0607032`.

[PZ] Parfionov G., Zapatrin R. (2000). Connes' Duality in Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry, *J. Math. Phys.* 41, 7122. `arXiv:gr-qc/9803090`.

[P1] Paschke M., Time Evolutions in Quantum Mechanics and (Lorentzian) Geometry, `arXiv:math-ph/0301040`.

[P2] Paschke M. (2007). An Essay on the Spectral Action and its Relation to Quantum Gravity, in: *Quantum Gravity, Mathematical Models and Experimental Bounds*, eds.: Fauser B., Tolksdorf J., Zeidler E., Birkhäuser.

[PS1] Paschke M., Sitarz A., Discrete Spectral Triples and Their Symmetries, `arXiv:q-alg/9612029`.

[PS2] Paschke M., Sitarz A., Equivariant Lorentzian Spectral Triples, `arXiv:/math-ph/0611029`.

[PV1] Paschke M., Verch R., Local Covariant Quantum Field Theory over Spectral Geometries, `arXiv:gr-qc/0405057`.

[PV2] Paschke M., Verch R., Globally Hyperbolic Noncommutative Geometries, (in preparation).

[PaR] Pask, D., Rennie, A. (2006). The noncommutative geometry of graph C*-algebras. I. The index theorem. *J. Funct. Anal.* 233, 92-134. `arXiv:math/0508025`.

[Pe] Penrose R. (2005). *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe*, Knopf.

[PeR] Penrose R., Rindler W. (1984-1986). *Spinors and Space-Time*, vol. I-II Cambridge University Press.

[Pi] Pinamonti N., On Localization and Position Operators in Möbius Covariant Theories, `arXiv:math-ph/0610070`, 25 October 2006.

[Pr1] Prugovecki E. (1984). *Stochastic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Spacetime*, Kluwer.

[Pr2] Prugovecki E. (1992). *Quantum Geometry*, Kluwer.

[Pr3] Prugovecki E. (1995). *Principles of Quantum General Relativity*, World Scientific.

[Ra1] Raptis J., Non-commutative Topology for Curved Quantum Causality, `arXiv:gr-qc/0101082`.

[Ra2] Raptis J., Presheaves, Sheaves and their Topoi in Quantum Gravity and Quantum Logic, `arXiv:gr-qc/0110064`.

[Ra3] Raptis J., Quantum Space-Time as a Quantum Causal Set, `arXiv:gr-qc/0201004`.

[Ra4] Raptis J., "Iconoclastic" Categorical Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0509089`.

[Ra5] Raptis J., "Third" Quantization of Vacuum Einstein Gravity and Free Yang-Mills Theories, `arXiv:gr-qc/0606021`.

[Ra6] Raptis J., A Dodecalogue of Basic Didactics from Applications of Abstract Differential Geometry to Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0607038`.

[Re1] Rennie A. (2001). Commutative Geometries are Spin Manifolds, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 13, 409. `arXiv:math-ph/9903021`.

[Re2] Rennie A. (2003). Smoothness and Locality for Nonunital Spectral Triples, *K-Theory* 28 n. 2, 127-165.

[Re3] Rennie A. (2004). Summability for Nonunital Spectral Triples, *K-Theory*, 31 n. 1, 71-100.

[Re4] Rennie A., private communication, August 2003.

[RV1] Rennie A., Varilly J., Reconstruction of Manifolds in Noncommutative Geometry, `arXiv:math/0610418`.

[RV2] Rennie A., Varilly J., Orbifolds are not Commutative Geometries, `arXiv:math/0703719`.

[Res] Resende P., Etale Groupoids and Their Quantales, `arXiv:math/0412478`.

[Ri1] Rieffel M. (1998). Metrics on States from Actions of Compact Groups, *Doc. Math.* 3, 215-229. `arXiv:math.OA/9807084`.

[Ri2] Rieffel M., Compact Quantum Metric Spaces, `arXiv:math.OA/0308207`.

[Ri3] Rieffel M., A Global View of Equivariant Vector Bundles and Dirac Operators on Some Compact Homogeneous Spaces, `arXiv:math/0703496`.

[RR] Reisenberger M., Rovelli C. (2002). Spacetime States and Covariant Quantum Theory, *Phys. Rev.* D65, 125016. `arXiv:gr-qc/0111016`.

[RRV] Roberts J., Ruzzi G., Vasselli E., A Theory of Bundles over Posets, `arXiv:0707.0240`.

[R] Rosenberg A. (1999). Noncommutative Spaces and Schemes, preprint MPIM1999-84, Max Planck Institut für Mathematik.

[Ro1] Rovelli C. (2004). *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press. `http://www.cpt.univ-mrs.fr/řovelli/book.ps`.

[Ro2] Rovelli C. (1998). Loop Quantum Gravity, *Living Rev. Rel.* 1, 1. `arXiv:gr-qc/9710008`.

[Ro3] Rovelli C. (1996). Relational Quantum Mechanics, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 35, 1637. `arXiv:quant-ph/9609002`.

[Ro4] Rovelli C. (2002). Partial Observables, *Phys. Rev.* D65, 124013. `arXiv:gr-qc/0110035`.

[Ro5] Rovelli C. (1999). Spectral Noncommutative Geometry and Quantization: a Simple Example, *Phys. Rev.Lett.* 83, 1079-1083. `arXiv:gr-qc/9904029`.

[RS] Rovelli C., Smerlak S., Relational EPR, `arXiv:quant-ph/0604064`.

[Sa] Sauvageot J.-L. (1989). Tangent Bimodule and Locality for Dissipative Operators on C*-Algebras, in: *Quantum Probability and Applications IV*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics n. 1396, 322-338.

[S] Schröder H., On the Definition of Geometric Dirac Operators, `arXiv:math.DG/0005239`.

[Sc1] Schroer B., (1997). Wigner Representation Theory of the Poincaré Group, Localization, Statistics and the S-Matrix, *Nucl. Phys.* B499, 519-546. `arXiv:hep-th/9608092`.

[Sc2] Schroer B. (1999). Modular Wedge Localization and the $d = 1 + 1$ Form Factor Program, *Ann. Phys.* 275, 190-223. `arXiv:hep-th/9712124`.

[SW1] Schroer B., Wiesbrock H.-W. (2000). Modular Theory and Geometry, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 12, 139-158. `arXiv:math-ph/9809003`.

[SW2] Schroer B., Wiesbrock H.-W. (2000). Modular Constructions of Quantum Field Theories with Interactions, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 12, 301-326.

[Se] Segal G. (2004). The Definition of Conformal Field Theory, in: *Topology, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 421-577.

[Sew] Sewell G. (1982). Quantum Fields on Manifolds: PCT and Gravitationally Induced Thermal States, *Ann. Phys.* 141, 201.

[Si] Sitarz A. (2002). Habilitation Thesis, Jagellonian University.

[Sm1] Smolin L. (2000). *Three Roads to Quantum Gravity*, Weidenfeld & Nicolson - London. `http://www.qgravity.org`.

[Sm2] Smolin L., Could quantum mechanics be an approximation to another theory? `arXiv:quant-ph/0609109`.

[Sn] Snyder H. (1947). Quantized Spacetime, *Phys. Rev.* 71, 38-41.

[So1] Sorkin R. (1995). A Specimen of Theory Construction from Quantum Gravity, in: *The Creation of Ideas in Physics: Studies for a Methodology of Theory Construction*, ed.: Leplin J., 167-179, Kluwer. `arXiv:gr-qc/9511063`.

[So2] Sorkin R. (1997). Forks in the Road on the Way to Quantum Gravity, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 36, 2759-2781. `arXiv:gr-qc/9706002`.

[So3] Sorkin R., Caual Sets: Discrete Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0309009`.

[Str] Strohmaier A., On Noncommutative and semi-Riemannian Geometry, `arXiv:math-ph/0110001`.

[Sui] van Suijlekom W. (2004). The Noncommutative Lorentzian Cylinder as an Isospectral Deformation, *J. Math. Phys.* 45, 537-556. `arXiv:math-ph/0310009`.

[Su] Summers S., Tomita-Takesaki Modular Theory, `arXiv:math-ph/0511034`.

[SuW] Summers S., White R., On Deriving Space-Time from Quantum Observables and States, `arXiv:hep-th/0304179`.

[Ta1] Takahashi A. (1979). Hilbert Modules and their Representation, *Rev. Colombiana Mat.* 13, 1-38.

[Ta2] Takahashi A. (1979). A Duality between Hilbert Modules and Fields of Hilbert Spaces, *Rev. Colombiana Mat.* 13, 93-120.

[T] Takesaki M. (2001-2002). *The Theory of Operator Algebras I-II-III*, Springer.

[Th1] Thiemann T., Introduction to Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0110034`.

[Th2] Thiemann T., Lectures on Loop Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0210094`.

[Th3] Thiemann T., Loop Quantum Gravity: An Inside View, `arXiv:hep-th/0608210`.

[tH1] 't Hooft G. (1999). Quantum Gravity as a Dissipative Deterministic System, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 16, 3263-3279. `arXiv:gr-qc/9903084`.

[tH2] 't Hooft G., The Mathematical Basis for a Deterministic Quantum Mechanics, `arXiv:quant-ph/0604008`.

[Ti1] Timmermann T., C^*-pseudo-multiplicative Unitaries, `arXiv:0709.2995`.

[Ti2] Timmermann T., Finite-dimensional Hopf C*-bimodules and C*-pseudo-multiplicative Unitaries, `arXiv:0711.1420`.

[To1] Tomita M. (1967). Quasi Standard von Neumann Algebras, preprint.

[To2] Tomita M. (1967). Standard Forms of von Neumann Algebras, The Vth Functional Analysis Symposium of the Mathematical Society of Japan, Sendai.

[U] Unruh W. (1976). Notes on Black Hole Evaporation, *Phys. Rev.* D14, 870.

[Var] Varilly, J. C. (2006). *An introduction to noncommutative geometry.* EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich.

[Va] Vasselli E., Bundles of C*-categories and Duality, `arXiv:math/0510594`.

[Vo1] Volovik G. (2003). *The Universe in Helium Droplet*, Clarendon Press.

[Vo2] Volovik G., From Quantum Hydrodynamics to Quantum Gravity, `arXiv:gr-qc/0612134`.

[Vo3] Volovik G., From Semiconductors to Quantum Gravity: to Centenary of Matvei Bronstein, `arXiv:0705.0991`.

[We1] Weaver N. (1999). *Lipschitz Algebras*, World Scientific.

[We2] Weaver N. (2001). *Mathematical Quantization*, Chapman and Hall.

[W] Weinstein A. (1997). The modular automorphism group of a Poisson manifold. *J. Geom. Phys.* 23 n. 3-4, 379-394.

[Wh1] Wheeler J. (1957). On the Nature of Quantum Geometrodynamics, *Ann. Phys.* 2, 604-614.

[Wh2] Wheeler J. (1980). Pregeometry: Motivations and Prospects, in: *Quantum Theory and Gravitation*, ed.: Marlov A., Academic Press.

[Wh3] Wheeler J. (1992). It from Bit, in: *Sakharov Memorial Lectures on Physics*, vol. 2, Nova Science.

[Ze] Zeh H., Decoherence: Basic Concepts and Their Interpretation, `arXiv:quant-ph/9506020`.

[Z] Zito P. (2007). 2-C^*-categories with Non-simple Units, *Adv. Math.* 210, No. 1, 122-164, `arXiv:math/0509266`.

[Zu] Zurek W., Decoherence, Einselection, and the Quantum Origins of the Classical, `arXiv:quant-ph/0105127`.